

**RATE OF CONVERGENCE, RELATION BETWEEN THE ARCSINE LAW
AND $P(\tau \leq n)$ IN THE OPTIMAL STOPPING PROBLEM FOR $\frac{S_n}{n}$**

Ly Hoang Tu

Hanoi, Vietnam

ABSTRACT: We establish the relation between the arcsine law and $P(\tau \leq n)$, and we prove that $\text{Sup}_{t \leq N} E \frac{S_t}{t} \rightarrow \text{Sup}_{t \leq \infty} E \frac{S_t}{t}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and the rate of convergence belongs between

$$\frac{1}{2(N+1)} E |X_1| \quad \forall N \quad \text{and} \quad (1+\varepsilon) \frac{2}{N^{\frac{3}{4}}} \text{ for arbitrary } \varepsilon > 0, N > N_\varepsilon .$$

KEY WORDS: Optimal stopping time, backward induction, the arcsine law, the Fatou – Lebesgue`s theorem. Symmetric Bernoulli`s distribution. Generating function, AMS (2000) Subject classification. Primary 60G 40. Secondary 62L 15.

INTRODUCTION

In (Chow, Y.S., Robbins, H., 1965) the existence of an optimal stopping time for $\frac{S_t}{t}$ was proved. The case $P(t=\infty)$ was considered by Klass, M, J (1973). In (Lai, T., L., and Yao, Y.C., 2005) it was proved that: $0 \leq V(x, n) - V_N(x, n) \leq \frac{b_N}{N} \leq \frac{0,83992}{\sqrt{N}}$ where $V(x,n) = \text{Sup}_{t \in T} E(\frac{x+S_t}{n+t})$, T is the set of all stopping times, $V_N(x,n)$ is the value function of the finite horizon problem, moreover, authors give the formula: $0 \leq V(x,n) - V_N(x, n) \leq \frac{b_N}{N} \cdot P(x+S_k < b_{n+k}, k= 1, \dots, (N-n)$, but the value of P was not given and there was not result for the case of $x = 0, n = 0$.

In this work, by the structure of the optimal stopping time, the relation between the arcsine law and $P(\tau \leq n)$, we have the following results:

- a) $\text{Sup}_{t \leq \infty} E \frac{S_t}{t} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{Sup}_{t \leq N} E \frac{S_t}{t}$,
- b) $\text{Sup}_{t \leq \infty} E \frac{S_t}{t} - \text{Sup}_{t \leq N} E \frac{S_t}{t} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{2}{N^{3/4}}$, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N > N_\varepsilon$.
- c) $\text{Sup}_{t \leq \infty} E \frac{S_t}{t} - \text{Sup}_{t \leq N} E \frac{S_t}{t} \geq \frac{E|X_1|}{2(N+1)}$. $\quad \forall N$.

2) $(V^{N+1} - V^N)$ and $V = V^\infty$.

Let X_i $i = 1, 2, \dots$ be independent identically distributed (i. i. d) random variables having $EX_i = 0, \text{Var}X_i = 1$. Let $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$. We suppose that F_n is the σ -algebra generated by X_1, \dots, X_n , t is a stopping time related to $(F_n, n = 1, 2, \dots)$, T is the set of all stopping times, T^N is the set of stopping times such that $t \leq N$. A stopping time $\tau \in T^N$ is said to be optimal in T^N if:

$$E \frac{S_\tau}{\tau} = \text{Sup}_{t \in T^N} E \frac{S_t}{t}. \tag{2.1}$$

In all this work we put:

$$V = \text{Sup}_{t \leq \infty} E \frac{S_t}{t}, V^N = \text{Sup}_{t \leq N} E \frac{S_t}{t}, V^\infty = \text{Lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} V^N. \tag{2.1a}$$

THEOREM (2.1)

Suppose that τ is optimal in T^{N+1} , μ is optimal in T^N then:

$$E \frac{S_\tau}{\tau} - E \frac{S_\mu}{\mu} \leq \int_{\Delta_{N+1}} \frac{-S_N}{(N+1)N} dP, \Delta_{N+1} = \{\tau = N+1\}. \tag{2.2}$$

PROOF

We construct the stopping $t \in T^N$ such that :

$$\{t=n\} = \{\tau = n\}, n = 1, 2, \dots (N-1), \{t=N\} = R^N \setminus \sum_1^{N-1} \{\tau = n\},$$

hence we have:

$$E \frac{S_\tau}{\tau} - E \frac{S_t}{t} = \int_{\{\tau=N+1\}} \frac{X_{N+1}}{(N+1)} dP - \int_{\{\tau=N+1\}} \frac{S_N}{(N+1)N} dP, \tag{2.3}$$

$\{\tau = N+1\}$ is a cylinder in R^{N+1} :

$$\{\tau = N+1\} = \{R^N \setminus \sum_1^N \{\tau = n\}\} \times \{-\infty \leq X_{N+1} \leq +\infty\} \text{ then } \int_{\{\tau=N+1\}} \frac{X_{N+1}}{(N+1)} dP =$$

$$\int \dots \int_{\{R^N \setminus \sum_1^N (\tau=n)\}} dP_{X_1} \dots dP_{X_N} \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{X_{N+1}}{(N+1)} dP_{X_{N+1}} = 0. \text{ (Loe`ve, M., 1960.}$$

Chapters 2, 5, 7) (Neveu, J., 1964 Chapter 3)

By (2.3) and by μ is optimal in T^N the proof is complete.

THEOREM (2.2)

$$V = V^\infty. \tag{2.4}$$

PROOF

Suppose (2.4) is not true then there exists a stopping time τ such that:

$$E \frac{S_\tau}{\tau} = \sum_1^\infty \int_{\{\tau=n\}} \frac{S_n}{n} dP > V^\infty + \varepsilon. \quad \varepsilon > 0 \tag{2.5}$$

We construct a stopping time $\rho \leq N$ such that:

$\{\rho = n\} = \{\tau = n\}, n = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1), \{\rho = N\} = R^N \setminus U_1^{N-1}\{\tau = n\}$ and we have:

$$E \frac{S_\tau}{\tau} \leq E \frac{S_\rho}{\rho} + \int_{\{\rho=N\}} \frac{S_N}{N} dP + \sum_N^\infty \int_{\{\tau=n\}} \frac{S_n}{n} dP.$$

By the strong law of large numbers and by the Fatou- Lebesgue`s theorem there exists a number N_ε such that for $N \geq N_\varepsilon$ we obtain:

$$E \frac{S_\tau}{\tau} \leq V^\infty + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \tag{2.6}$$

(2.6) contradicts (2.5) and the proof is complete.

3). Relation between the arcsine law and $P(\tau \leq n)$, the rate of convergence.

3.1. Let $X_i \ i = 1, 2, \dots$ be (i.i.d) random variables having continuous, symmetric distribution with

$$EX_i = 0, \text{Var } X_i = 1. \text{ Let } S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n.$$

Let K be the random variable defined by:

$$\{K = k\} = \{K_N = k\} = \{S_k > S_0, \dots, S_k > S_{k-1}, S_k \geq S_{k+1}, \dots, S_k \geq S_N\}, k = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

$$(S_0=0) \tag{3.1} \quad \text{Let the arcsine law: } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(K < n\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{\alpha}, \ 0 <$$

$\alpha < 1, \alpha = \text{const.}$ (Feller, W., Vol. II, Chapter XII, 1966).

THEOREM (3.1)

Let τ be the optimal stopping time in T^N ,

$$\text{a) If there exists } (\tau = m) \text{ then: } P(\tau \leq m) \geq P(1 \leq K \leq m). \quad 1 < m <$$

$$N. \tag{3.2}$$

$$b) P(\tau > m) \leq P(K > m) + P(S_1 \leq 0; \dots; S_N \leq 0). \quad 0 \leq m \leq N-1. \quad (3.3)$$

PROOF

By definition and by contradiction it is easy to prove that: $\{S_1; \dots; S_N\} = R^N \setminus \{1 \leq K \leq N\}$ if and only if: $S_1 \leq 0; \dots; S_N \leq 0$, then:

$$P(1 \leq K \leq N) + P(S_1 \leq 0; \dots; S_N \leq 0) = 1 \quad (3.4)$$

By backward induction: (Chow, Y., S., Robbins, H., Siegmund, D., 1971):

$$(\tau = m) = \left\{ \frac{S_1}{1} < E(\gamma_2^N / F_1); \dots; \frac{S_{m-1}}{m-1} < E(\gamma_m^N / F_{m-1}); \frac{S_m}{m} \geq E(\gamma_{m+1}^N / F_m) \right\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Where $\gamma_N^N = \frac{S_N}{N}$, $\gamma_n^N = \max \left\{ \frac{S_n}{n}; E(\gamma_{n+1}^N / F_n) \right\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1)$.

$$(\tau = N) = R^N \setminus \bigcup_1^{N-1} (\tau = n). \quad (3.5a)$$

We define the random variable K^* :

$$\{K^* = k\} = \left\{ S_k > 0, \frac{S_k}{k} \geq \frac{S_{k+1}}{k+1}, \dots, \frac{S_k}{k} \geq \frac{S_N}{N} \right\}, \quad k=1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (3.6)$$

then $\{K = k\} \subset \{K^* = k\}$.

On $\{K^* = k\}$ we have: $\frac{S_k}{k} \geq \gamma_N^N = \frac{S_N}{N}$ (by definition) and $\frac{S_k}{k} \geq \gamma_{N-1}^N = \max \left(\frac{S_{N-1}}{N-1}; E(\gamma_N^N / F_{N-1}) \right)$, (because of $\frac{S_k}{k} \geq \frac{S_{N-1}}{N-1}$ and $\frac{S_k}{k} \geq \gamma_N^N$).

Similarly, it can be shown that $\frac{S_k}{k} \geq \gamma_{N-2}^N, \dots, \frac{S_k}{k} \geq \gamma_{k+1}^N$ and $\frac{S_k}{k} \geq E(\gamma_{k+1}^N / F_k)$, hence:

$$(K^* = k) \subset \left\{ \frac{S_k}{k} \geq E(\gamma_{k+1}^N / F_k) \right\}. \quad (3.6a)$$

By (3.5):

$$(\tau > k) \subset \left\{ \frac{S_k}{k} < E(\gamma_{k+1}^N / F_k) \right\}. \quad (3.7)$$

By (3.6a), (3.7): $(K^* = k) \cap (\tau > k) = \emptyset$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1)$, so:

$$\{K=k\} \cap (\tau > k) = \emptyset, k = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1),$$

(3.8)

hence $(K = k) \subset (\tau \leq k)$ and $\cup_1^m (K=k) \subset \cup_1^m (\tau \leq k)$ and (3.2) holds. Finally, by (3.2) and (3.4) the proof is complete.

THEOREM (3.2)

If τ is optimal in T^{N+1} then for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a N_ε such that:

$$a) P\{\tau = N + 1\} \leq 2 \cdot \frac{(2N+1)!!}{(2N+2)!!} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi N}} \cdot \forall \varepsilon > 0, N \geq N_\varepsilon.$$

(3.9)

$$b) V^{N+1} - V^N \leq (1+\varepsilon) \cdot \frac{1,1}{N^4} \cdot \varepsilon > 0 \quad N > N_\varepsilon.$$

(3.10)

$$c) V - V^N \leq (1+\varepsilon) \cdot \frac{2}{N^4} \cdot \varepsilon > 0 \quad N \geq N_\varepsilon.$$

(3.11)

PROOF

a) By (3.3) $P(\tau = N + 1) \leq P(K = N+1) + P(S_1 \leq 0; \dots; S_{N+1} \leq 0)$.

By definition: $P(K = N+1) = P(S_{N+1} > 0; S_{N+1} > S_1; \dots; S_{N+1} > S_N) = p_{N+1}$.

(3.12)

The generating function $G(s)$ of $\{p_n\}$ satisfies:

$\text{Log } G(s) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{s^n}{n} P(S_n > 0)$, (Feller, W., Vol. II, Chap XII. 1966), for continuous

and symmetric distribution $\text{Log } G(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_1^\infty \frac{s^n}{n}$ then $G(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s}}$; $-1 < s < 1$. and:

$$p_{N+1} = \frac{(2N+1)!!}{(2N+2)!!}$$

(3.13)

$$P(S_1 \leq 0; S_2 \leq 0; \dots; S_{N+1} \leq 0) = q_{N+1}$$

The generating function $Q(s)$ of $\{q_n\}$ satisfies:

$Q(s) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{s^n}{n} P(S_n \leq 0)$ (Feller, W., Vol II, Chapter XII, 1966). For continuous and symmetric distribution:

$$Q(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s}} \quad -1 < s < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q_{N+1} = p_{N+1}. \quad (3.14)$$

By (3.13),(3.14) we have: $P(\tau = N+1) \leq 2 \cdot \frac{(2N+1)!!}{(2N+2)!!}$. By the Wallis' formula we have

$$P(\tau = N+1) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi(N+1)}} \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty \text{ and (3,9) holds.}$$

b) If τ is optimal in T^{N+1} then by theorem (2.1):

$$V^{N+1} - V^N \leq \frac{1}{(N+1)\sqrt{N}} \int_{\Delta_{N+1}} \frac{-S_N}{\sqrt{N}} dP \quad \Delta_{N+1} = \{\tau=N+1\},$$

applying the Schwarz 's inequality to the integral and by (3.9) we have (3.10).

c) Utilizing (3.10) we have $V - V^N = \sum_N^\infty (V^{n+1} - V^n)$. $N > N_\varepsilon$

$$V - V^N \leq 1,1.(1+\varepsilon).(\int_N^\infty x^{-7/4} dx + \frac{1}{N^4}) \text{ and (3.11) holds}$$

3.2 – Now we consider the case of symmetric Bernoulli's distribution: $P(X_1 = -1) = P(X_1 = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$. We apply the results of Sparre Andersen, E. and Spitzer., F, (Frank., Spitzer, Principles of random walk Chapter IV, 1966).

Let N_n be the random variable defined by: $N_0 = 0$, $N_n = \sum_1^n \theta(S_i)$ where $\theta(x) = 1$ if $x \in R$, $x > 0$, and $\theta(x) = 0$ if $x \leq 0$, $S_i = X_1 + \dots + X_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. For symmetric Bernoulli's distribution Sparre., Andersen, E. proved the following results:

$$1) P(N_n = k) = P(N_k = k) \cdot P(N_{n-k} = 0) \quad 0 \leq k \leq n \quad (3.15)$$

$$2) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n\pi} P(N_n = 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \quad (3.16)$$

$$3) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n\pi} P(N_n = n) = \sqrt{c} \quad (3.16a)$$

Where $c = e^{-\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{P(S_k=0)}{k}}$

(3.17)

THEOREM (3.1a)

Let τ be the optimal stopping time in T^{n+1} ,

a) If there exists $(\tau = m)$, $1 < m < n+1$ then:

$$P(\tau \leq m) \geq P(1 \leq N_{n+1} \leq m)$$

(3.2a)

b) $P(\tau = n + 1) \leq P(N_{n+1} = n+1) + P(N_{n+1} = 0)$

(3.3a)

PROOF

By (3.15), (3.1) and by duality (Feller.,W., Vol II, chapter XII, 1966) we have:

$P(K_{n+1} = k) = P(N_{n+1} = k)$; $1 \leq k \leq n+1$. In the case of symmetric Bernoulli's distribution τ can be constructed by backward induction, then the proof of (3.2a) is like that for the proof of (3.2). By definition: $P(N_{n+1} = 0) = P(S_1 \leq 0, \dots, S_{n+1} \leq 0)$ hence $P(0 \leq N_{n+1} \leq n + 1) = 1$ and (3.3a) holds.

EXAMPLE

If $(n+1) = 7$ then: $P(\tau = 7) = \frac{30}{2^7}$, $P(N_7 = 7) = P(K_7 = 7) = \frac{20}{2^7}$.

$P(N_7 = 0) = 1 - P(1 \leq K_7 \leq 7) = \frac{35}{2^7}$. $P(\tau \leq 5) = \frac{88}{2^7} > P(K_7 \leq 5) = P(1 \leq N_7 \leq 5) = \frac{63}{2^7}$. etc.

By (3.15), (3.16), (3.16a), similarly to the proof of theorem (3.2) we have:

THEOREM (3.2a)

If τ is optimal in T^{n+1} and $n \rightarrow \infty$ then for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a n_ε such that:

$$\text{a) } P(\tau = n+1) \leq (1+\varepsilon) \frac{\delta^2}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \quad n > n_\varepsilon$$

(3.9a)

$$\text{b) } V^{n+1} - V^n \leq (1+\varepsilon) \frac{\delta}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}} n^{\frac{7}{4}}} \quad n > n_\varepsilon$$

(3.10a)

$$\text{c) } V - V^n \leq (1+\varepsilon) \frac{2\delta}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}} \quad n > n_\varepsilon$$

(3.11a)

Where $\delta^2 = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} + \sqrt{c})$, c is given by (3.17).

4) The Lower rate of convergence.

Let $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ be independent and identically distributed random variables

having mean 0 and variance 1. Let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$

LEMMA (4.1)

If τ is optimal in T^N then;

$$\{ S_1 < 0, \dots, S_N < 0 \} \subset \{ \tau = N \}$$

(4.1)

PROOF

By the definition of τ and by $E(\gamma_{n+1}^N / F_n) \geq E(\frac{S_{n+1}}{n+1} / F_n), n = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1)$

then: $\{ \tau = n \} \subset \{ \frac{S_n}{n} \geq E(\gamma_{n+1}^N / F_n) \} \subset \{ \frac{S_n}{n} \geq E(\frac{S_{n+1}}{n+1} / F_n) \} \subset \{ S_n \geq 0 \}, n = 1, \dots, (N-1).$

Because of $\cup_1^{N-1} \{ \tau = n \} \subset \cup_1^{N-1} \{ S_n \geq 0 \}$ then:

$\{ S_1 < 0, \dots, S_N < 0 \} \subset \{ S_1 < 0, \dots, S_{N-1} < 0 \} \subset \{ \tau = N \}$ and the proof is complete.

LEMMA (4.2)

If $D_N = \{ S_1 < 0, \dots, S_N < 0 \}$ then:

$$\text{a) } \int_{D_N} \frac{-S_N}{N} dP \geq \frac{1}{2N} E|X_1|, N \geq 1$$

(4.2)

b) $\int_{D_N} \frac{-S_N}{N} dP = \frac{1}{2N}$, $N \geq 1$ for symmetric Bernoulli distribution.
(4.3)

PROOF

a) $\int_{D_N} \frac{-S_N}{N} dP + \int_{CD_N} \frac{-S_N}{N} dP = \int_{D_{N-1}} \frac{-S_N}{N} dP$ where $CD_N = (D_{N-1} \setminus D_N)$.

By $S_N \geq 0$ on CD_N ; we have:

$\int_{D_N} \frac{-S_N}{N} dP \geq \int_{D_{N-1}} \frac{-S_N}{N} dP = \int_{D_{N-1}} E\left(\frac{-S_N}{N} / F_{N-1}\right) dP = \frac{N-1}{N} \int_{D_{N-1}} \frac{-S_{N-1}}{N-1} dP$, then:

$\int_{D_N} \frac{-S_N}{N} dP \geq \frac{N-1}{N} \times \frac{N-2}{N-1} \times \dots \times \frac{1}{2} E\left|\frac{X_1}{2}\right|$. and (4.2) holds

b) For symmetric Bernoulli distribution: $(P\{X_1=1\} = P\{X_1=-1\} = \frac{1}{2})$, on CD_N :

$\{S_{N-1} < 0, S_N \geq 0\}$ then $S_N = 0$ and $\int_{CD_N} \frac{-S_N}{N} dP = 0$, hence (4.3) holds.

THEOREM (4.1)

$$V^{N+1} - V^N \geq \frac{1}{2(N+1)N} E|X_1| \quad \forall N \geq 1 \tag{4.4}$$

PROOF

Suppose that τ is optimal in T^{N+1} and t is optimal in T^N , we construct the stopping time $\mu \in T^{N+1}$ such that:

$\{\mu = n\} = \{t = n\}$ if $n = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1)$,

$\{\mu = N\} = \{t = N\} \setminus D_N$ where $D_N = \{S_1 < 0, \dots, S_N < 0\}$.

$\{\mu = N + 1\} = R^{N+1} \setminus \sum_1^N \{\mu = n\} = D_N \times R_{N+1} \in F_N$,

where F_N is a σ -algebra of $\Omega = R^{N+1}$.

$E \frac{S_\mu}{\mu} - E \frac{S_t}{t} = \frac{-1}{N+1} \int_{(\mu=N+1)} \frac{S_N}{N} dP + \int_{(\mu=N+1)} \frac{X_{N+1}}{N+1} dP$.

$(\mu = N + 1) \in F_N$ then: $\int_{(\mu=N+1)} \frac{X_{N+1}}{N+1} dP = \int_{(\mu=N+1)} E\left(\frac{X_{N+1}}{N+1} / F_N\right) dP = 0$.

$\frac{-1}{N+1} \int_{(\mu=N+1)} \frac{S_N}{N} dP = \frac{-1}{N+1} \int_{D_N} \frac{S_N}{N} dP_{X_1} \dots dP_{X_N} \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dP_{X_{N+1}}$.

By lemma (4.2) and by τ is optimal in T^{N+1} , the proof is complete.

THEOREM (4.2)

$$V - V^N \geq \frac{1}{2(N+1)} E|X_1| \quad \forall N.$$

(4.5)

PROOF

By theorem (4,1) we have:

$$V - V^N \geq \sum_N^{\infty} \frac{1}{2(n+1)n} E|X_1|. \geq E|X_1| \int_N^{\infty} \frac{1}{2(x+1)x} dx \text{ and (4.5) holds.}$$

REFERENCES

- 1) Castelle, D. D. et Duflo (1983), "Probabilite's et statistiques 2 Proble`mes a` temps mobile .5. .de'cisions pas a` pas" Masson, Paris, New York.
- 2) Chow, Y.S. and Robbins, H., (1965) "On optimal stopping rules for $\frac{S_n}{n}$ " Illinois J. math. 9, 444-454.
- 3) Chow, Y. S., and Robbins, H., and Siegmund, D., (1971) "Great expectation: The theory of optimal stopping" Houghton. Mifflin, Boston.
- 4) Feller, W., vol II. (1966) "An introduction to probability and its applications" Willey & Sons. New York. London. Sydney. Russian translation. Moskva.MIR.1967.
- 5) Frank Spitzer.(1964) Principles of random walk .Princeton New Jersey, Toronto. New York. London.
- 6) Klass, M.J. (1973). Properties of optimal extended-valued stopping rules for $\frac{S_n}{n}$. The annals of probability 1973 Vol 1 N_o5 .719-757.
- 7) Lai, T.L. and Yao, Y.C., (2005) "The optimal stopping problem for $\frac{S_n}{n}$ and its Ramifications" Technical Report N_o2005-22. Department of statistics Stanford University. Stanford California.
- 8) Loe`ve, M. (1960). Probability theory, D, Van Nostrand company. Princeton, New Jersey. Toronto. New York. London.
- 9) Neveu, J., (1964) base mathe' matiques du calcul des probabilite's. Masson et CIE, Paris.