

## **Saudi Vision 2030 and International Media Coverage and Response: A comparative Study**

**Safran Safar Almakaty**

Professor of Communication and Media  
MA from msu.edu and PhD from uky.edu  
Independent Scholar, Consultant, Researcher  
in Communication & Media, Corporate Communication,  
International Relations, and Higher Education.  
Riyadh, Saudi Arabia  
safran93@hotmail.com

doi: <https://doi.org/10.37745/ijirmmcs.15/vol10n25588>

Published May 13, 2024

---

**Citation:** Almakaty S.S. (2024) Saudi Vision 2030 and International Media Coverage and Response: A comparative Study, *International Journal of International Relations, Media and Mass Communication Studies*, Vol.10, No.2, pp.55-89

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Saudi Vision 2030 represents an ambitious transformative economic and social reform initiative aimed at decreasing Saudi Arabia's dependence on oil revenue while fostering a dynamic public sector and increasing international investment. This research paper critically examines the international media's response to Saudi Vision 2030, exploring the portrayal across disparate regions including the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, the broader Middle East, the United States, Russia, the European Union, and Asia through a qualitative content analysis methodology. This comprehensive study focuses on assessing the themes, narratives, bias, and objectivity manifested in international media coverage and investigates the implications of these representations on global public perception. The findings suggest nuanced variances in how Saudi Vision 2030 is reported internationally, significantly influenced by regional political interests, economic ties, and cultural connections with Saudi Arabia. The paper delves into this comparative analysis, highlighting how media in different regions prioritize certain themes such as economic diversification, human rights, and technological advancement, depending on their geopolitical agenda. The analysis extends to uncover underlying biases and degrees of objectivity within media portrayals, offering an understanding of how such biases could potentially sway public opinion and international relations. Further, the study evaluates the impact of media coverage on the international reception of Saudi Vision 2030, proposing that differences in media narratives can affect the initiative's global effectiveness and acceptance. This paper not only sheds light on the role of media in framing international policy discussions but also provides specific insights that might be employed by policymakers, media analysts, and scholars in crafting more informed strategies and narratives around significant global reforms like Saudi Vision 2030. The implications drawn from this analysis suggest pathways for future research, particularly in the areas of media influence on policymaking and the dynamics of international news portrayal.*

**KEYWORDS:** Saudi vision 2030, international media coverage, comparative Study

---

## INTRODUCTION

Saudi Vision 2030, orchestrated under the leadership of Crown Prince Mohammad bin Salman, marks a transformative agenda intended to shift Saudi Arabia's economic reliance away from oil. This ambitious initiative not only targets economic diversification but also envisions profound advancements in social, cultural, and infrastructural domains, aiming to align the Kingdom with global progress dynamics (Al-Rasheed, 2018; Hertog, 2019). Integral to these reforms are efforts to enhance social inclusivity, modernize education and health services, and boost the contributions of technology and innovation to national development (Ramady, 2020). As this strategic vision gains momentum, its representation in the international media is a critical frontier that influences global perceptions, impacts diplomatic relations, and shapes economic partnerships (Kraidy, 2017). Media portrayal often acts as a lens through which policies and national initiatives are interpreted globally, hence the narrative constructed in the media carries significant implications for the country's image and the international alignment with its policy objectives (Entman, 1993; McCombs & Shaw, 1972).

This scholarly investigation endeavors to meticulously examine the portrayal of Saudi Vision 2030 across diverse international media spectra, discerning the narratives employed and evaluating the perspectives from which these significant policy reforms are viewed. The objective extends beyond cataloging endorsements or criticisms; it aims to decode the subtleties and underpinnings of the media narratives that circulate globally. The scrutiny of media content is anchored on theoretical axes such as media framing theory and agenda-setting theory, which facilitate an understanding of how media interests and gatekeeping influence public discourse and, in turn, international reception of national policies (Entman, 1993; McCombs, 2005).

The global media's engagement with Saudi Vision 2030 not only reflects the vested interests and regional affiliations but also indicates the broad geopolitical narratives at play. Previous studies have demonstrated that the international media's response to policy initiatives like Saudi Vision 2030 often mirrors broader political, economic, and cultural dynamics, which can significantly steer both the media coverage itself and the subsequent international public opinion (Vliegthart & van Zoonen, 2011).

With respect to this backdrop, this paper is structured to provide a thorough investigation into how these global narratives shape perceptions of Saudi Vision 2030. This introduction frames our exploration and sets the stage for a detailed analysis of diverse international media sources in the subsequent sections. The depth of this investigation aims to offer insightful contributions to understanding how geopolitical considerations and media frameworks interact in the portrayal of transformative national strategies like Saudi Vision 2030 in the realm of international media.

### **Research Problem and Significant of the study:**

The central focus of this research is to explore the manifold disparities in international media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030, delving into how different narratives either support or

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

undermine the strategic objectives laid out in this ambitious reform agenda. Enhanced understanding of these media portrayals is crucial, as they significantly influence international perceptions and, consequently, the potential success of these reforms on a global scale (Livingston, 2011). This study aims to untangle the intricate narratives, identify thematic biases, and assess the degree of objectivity within the coverage by various global media outlets.

Media representations of national policies such as Saudi Vision 2030 do more than report; they frame these initiatives within broader geopolitical narratives that can affect international relations and foreign policy dynamics (Entman, 2004). The study examines the extent to which differences in media framing can lead to divergent international responses, potentially facilitating or obstructing the Kingdom's reform objectives (Soroka, 2002). The research navigates through the complexities of such media portrayals, scrutinizing the underlying reasons, whether political, economic, or cultural, that drive these differing narratives (Voltmer, 2013).

Moreover, this investigation assesses the impact of these media representations on the public's perception and governmental engagements across different regions. How media framing by influential global news outlets shapes the international discourse about Saudi Vision 2030 provides crucial insights into the process of global opinion formation and its subsequent effects on policy at both national and international levels (McCombs & Shaw, 1972; Scheufele, 1999). The analysis further explores whether the media's portrayal aligns with or deviates from the actual objectives and achievements of the Saudi Vision 2030, as discussed in official documents and independent reports, thereby examining the authenticity and depth of the media narratives (Wanta, Golan, & Lee, 2004).

The significance of dissecting these media narratives extends to understanding how robustly international media can influence policy implementation and public diplomacy. As Saudi Arabia endeavors to reshape its national identity and forge new economic pathways, the global media's role as an intermediary that can either bridge or widen gaps in international understanding becomes ever more pertinent (Golan, 2006; Manheim & Albritton, 1984). The insights derived from this robust analysis will contribute to the scholarly discourse on media influence in international politics, providing strategic recommendations for both policymakers and media practitioners engaged with or affected by the Saudi Vision 2030. Thus, this study not only enriches academic understanding but also serves practical imperatives in the intertwining realms of international media, public perception, and policymaking.

### **Background on Saudi Vision 2030:**

Saudi Vision 2030, unveiled by Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman in 2016, represents an audacious reform blueprint crafted to pivot the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from its deep-rooted oil dependency toward a more diversified and sustainable economic model. This strategic framework encapsulates visionary objectives tailored to revolutionize economic, social, and governance sectors, dramatically altering the Kingdom's developmental trajectory (Saudi Vision 2030, 2016). The core ambitions of Vision 2030 manifest across three pivotal themes: fostering a vibrant society, nurturing a thriving economy, and promoting effective governance.

**Vibrant Society:** This aspect of Vision 2030 emphasizes enhancing the quality of life for all citizens. It aims to cultivate a robust civil society and raise living standards by improving healthcare, education, and housing infrastructure. Culturally, the Kingdom has been pushing the boundaries traditionally associated with its conservative societal norms by expanding tourism and leisure sectors, exemplified by the initiation of major projects like NEOM and the Red Sea tourist development. These not only aim to transform the social fabric but also to position Saudi Arabia as an attractive tourist destination globally (Freedom House, 2019). Furthermore, the inclusion of women in the workforce and public life, significantly through the reform allowing women to drive, marks a pivotal shift in gender norms, striving to enhance women's economic participation from 22% in 2018 to 30% by 2030 (Alkhazim, 2019).

**Thriving Economy:** To transcend oil reliance, Vision 2030 aims to stimulate private sector growth, attract foreign investment, and harness the power of public-private partnerships. The Kingdom has initiated substantial economic reforms, such as the introduction of VAT and subsidy cuts, to stabilize the economy and foster a more investment-friendly environment (Jones & Woertz, 2020). Significant is the transformation of the Public Investment Fund into one of the world's largest sovereign wealth funds, tasked with spearheading domestic and international investments that align with the nation's strategic interests (Ramady, 2021).

**Ambitious Nation:** Governance reforms under Vision 2030 aim to streamline government functions through technological enhancements and digital governance. The National Transformation Program and Vision Realization Programs underpin this drive, introducing e-government solutions aimed at enhancing service delivery and bureaucratic efficiency. Also notable are intensified anti-corruption initiatives, which have included high-profile arrests and prosecutions as a statement of the regime's commitment to transparency and accountability (Al-Saud, 2019).

However, it is crucial to analyze these reforms within the broader geopolitical and economic contexts. While the Kingdom has made strides in diversifying its economy and modernizing its societal structure, challenges such as fluctuating oil prices and geopolitical tensions in the Middle East pose significant hurdles to the full realization of Vision 2030.

Furthermore, the internal cultural resistance to rapid liberal reforms and the implications of such changes on the traditional societal setup call for a nuanced implementation of these reforms (Kinninmont, 2017). In essence, Saudi Vision 2030 is not merely a national reform program but a strategic positioning framework aiming to redefine Saudi Arabia's role on the world stage. Through a comprehensive overhaul of economic policies, social norms, and governance models, the initiative seeks to transform the Kingdom into a dynamic, forward-looking nation prepared to meet the challenges of the 21st century.

### **Overview of International Media Perspectives:**

The international media landscape has showcased a varied and nuanced perspective on Saudi Vision 2030, heavily influenced by geopolitical alignments, economic interests, and local cultural perceptions. Analysis reveals that coverage ranges from cautiously optimistic to overtly critical, depending on the media outlet's origin and its geopolitical stance.

Western media, predominately from the United States and Europe, has presented a dual narrative around Vision 2030. On one hand, there is recognition of the bold strides towards economic diversification and social reforms, such as those aimed at improving the status of women in Saudi society (Oxford Analytica, 2020). On the other hand, there remains a pervasive scrutiny concerning the human rights record of Saudi Arabia and the perceived top-down imposition of these reforms. The narratives in outlets like *The Washington Post* and *The Financial Times* have also questioned the feasibility and transparency of flagship projects like NEOM, pointing towards potential difficulties in attracting the necessary international investment due to political risks and the ambitious scale of the projects (Davidson, 2019; Thomas, 2020).

Comparatively, Asian media coverage, particularly in countries like China and India, tends to emphasize the economic opportunities presented by Vision 2030. This coverage foregrounds how Vision 2030 aligns with China's Belt and Road Initiative, proposing symbiotic benefits for infrastructure and technology investments (Wong, 2021). Indian media, meanwhile, discusses the implications of Vision 2030 for the Indian diaspora and energy cooperation, which could potentially strengthen bilateral trade relations (Kumar, 2020).

Media within the Middle East presents a highly polarized view of Vision 2030, which can be traced back to the current geopolitical allegiances and conflicts. For instance, coverage in news outlets from countries aligned with Saudi Arabia, like the UAE, often highlights the visionary aspects of the plan and its potential to enhance economic and social resolutions in the region (Al-Rawi, 2021).

Russian and European Union media also shed light on the strategic components of Vision 2030, particularly emphasizing the role it plays in reshaping the energy sector. The narratives often examine the transition from oil dependency to renewable energy initiatives within the framework of global climate commitments, although skepticism about the concrete execution of these initiatives pervades (Peterson, 2021).

Thus, the international media's varied reception and portrayal of Saudi Vision 2030 underscore the complex interplay of economic interests, geopolitical strategies, and local perceptions. This reception not only shapes the global understanding and engagement with the Vision but also impacts Saudi Arabia's ability to attract the global partnerships essential for the plan's success.

## **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

The literature surrounding Saudi Vision 2030 offers a comprehensive analysis of the ambitious reform agenda initiated by the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, focusing on the extensive economic, social, and governance innovations it proposes. This body of work is essential in framing the context within which international media coverage can be examined and understood. On the economic front, scholars have critically assessed Vision's goal of diversifying away from oil dependency. A study by Al-Habib (2018) delves into the intricacies of the economic reforms, highlighting the need for a strategic overhaul in workforce development and international cooperation to meet the objectives laid out in the Vision. Additionally, Smith (2022)

---

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK emphasizes the global trend towards sustainability, which aligns with Vision's focus on creating a resilient economic structure that can endure global oil market fluctuations.

In terms of social reforms, literature such as Al-Dosary and Rahman (2019) investigates the significant strides Saudi Arabia is making in altering societal norms, particularly regarding women's roles. Their findings suggest that these reforms not only aim to enhance women's participation in the workforce but also to shift public perceptions and societal behaviors fundamentally, thereby resonating deeply within the country and reflecting internationally (Zahrani, 2021). Governance reforms under Vision 2030 have also been a focal point in scholarly discussions, with analyses often pointing out the challenges and opportunities associated with implementing substantial systemic changes. Nasser and Abdullah (2023) express a cautious optimism about the potential for increased government efficiency and transparency. Furthermore, Harper and Khalid (2022) suggest that these reforms are imperative for political modernization and could ultimately lead to improved governmental accountability.

The geopolitical implications of Vision 2030 have been extensively explored by Khan and Omar (2020), who argue that while the Vision positions Saudi Arabia as a regional leader, it also exposes the Kingdom to geopolitical vulnerabilities, particularly in how it is perceived and reacted to by different international actors.

The critical analysis of international media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 adds another layer to the understanding of global perspectives on Saudi reforms. Al-Rawi (2021) provides a nuanced discussion on how differential media framings can influence international perceptions, with Western media often adopting a more critical stance, while Asian counterparts may depict the Vision in a more favorable light, focusing on economic opportunities and strategic partnerships (Lee & Tan, 2020).

Through this literature review, it becomes evident that Saudi Vision 2030 is not merely a local initiative but one that holds substantial implications for international economic dynamics, cultural exchanges, and geopolitical alignments. Each of these aspects is interwoven, influencing how the Vision is implemented within Saudi Arabia and perceived by the world. The detailed scholarly analysis offers a robust foundation for further investigation into how international media narratives are shaped by these underlying factors and how they, in turn, impact global engagement with Saudi Vision 2030.

### **Theoretical Framework:**

The inquiry into the international media representations of Saudi Vision 2030 is systematically examined within the foundational theories of framing theory and modernization theory, each contributing comprehensively to the analytical depth of this research. Framing theory, as articulated by Entman (1993), is pivotal in understanding how media crafts, structures, and disseminates information, thereby shaping audience perceptions and interpretations concerning significant socio-political events. In the context of Saudi Vision 2030, this theory helps dissect the varied narratives that international media outlets craft about this ambitious initiative. For instance,

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK  
framing theory elucidates why certain aspects of Vision 2030, such as economic diversification and technological advancements, receive more positive framing in Western media, reflecting a bias towards economic narratives that align with neoliberal values (Entman, 1993; Scheufele & Tewksbury, 2007). Conversely, issues related to human rights or political reforms may receive negative framing or be underemphasized, reflecting the contentious political relations between Saudi Arabia and various Western countries (Gitlin, 1980).

Modernization theory complements this framing analysis by situating Vision 2030 within broader socio-economic transformations characteristic of modernizing societies. Originally proposed by Rostow (1960), this theory posits that societies progress through similar stages of economic development, leading from traditional to modern phases. Vision 2030's focus on transforming the Saudi economy, enhancing education standards, and increasing societal participation in the labor force, particularly among women, can be perceived through this theoretical lens as steps towards achieving a 'high mass-consumption' society, which is indicative of Rostow's later stages of economic growth (Rostow, 1960; Inglehart & Welzel, 2005).

However, the selective emphasis and prioritization of economic over political liberalization in media portrayals suggest discrepancies in what aspects of modernization are deemed necessary or acceptable, influenced by the geopolitical interests and cultural perspectives of different regions (Lerner, 1958; Huntington, 1968). Adding to this, media system dependency theory can be further incorporated to understand the reliance of audiences on media information to form cognitive and affective responses to social realities like those posed by Saudi Vision 2030 (Ball-Rokeach & DeFleur, 1976). This theory could explain why domestic audiences in countries with strong economic ties to Saudi Arabia might receive more favorable media content about Vision 2030, as these media systems are structured to support mutual economic dependencies.

By harnessing the nuanced insights provided by framing theory, modernization theory, and media system dependency theory, this framework provides a robust platform for interpreting the complex and often contradictory international media narratives surrounding Saudi Vision 2030. These theories together facilitate a multi-dimensional analysis, illuminating not only how Vision 2030 is presented in different media landscapes but also why these portrayals vary, aligning with specific economic interests, cultural perspectives, and political objectives. This comprehensive theoretical groundwork allows for a deeper understanding of the intersections between media influence, societal expectations, and the trajectory of national modernization efforts in a globalized context.

### **Research Questions:**

Informed by the theoretical underpinnings of framing theory and modernization theory, this exploration into the international media representations of Saudi Vision 2030 is guided by critical inquiries into the portrayal and perceived impact of this multifaceted reform initiative. Given the extensive scope of Vision 2030, which aims to overhaul economic, social, and governmental structures within Saudi Arabia, and considering the geopolitical interests it

\_\_\_\_\_ garners, this research is structured around the following revised and comprehensive research questions:

1. How do international media narratives surrounding Saudi Vision 2030 frame its economic, social, and political reforms, and what variations exist between media outlets from different geopolitical regions such as the West, Middle East, Asia, and Russia?

This question recognizes the potential for differential media framing practices across diverse geopolitical landscapes and seeks to dissect these practices to understand their alignment or divergence from the stated aims of Saudi Vision 2030 (Scheufele & Tewksbury, 2007; Hallin & Mancini, 2004). Additionally, it explores how economic interests, political alliances, and cultural affiliations might influence these media narratives.

2. In what ways do these media portrayals correspond to or contest the tenets of modernization theory, particularly the forecasted societal transformations advocated by Saudi Vision 2030, against a backdrop of traditional societal values?

This inquiry critically evaluates the extent to which international media coverage echoes the progression postulated by modernization theory, examining whether Saudi Arabia's envisioned transitions are presented as an organic evolution or a disruption to its traditional societal framework (Rostow, 1960; Inglehart & Welzel, 2005).

3. What biases, both explicit and implicit, are evident in the representation of Saudi Vision 2030 across different international media outlets, and what are their origins and potential impacts on Saudi Arabia's global image and its international relations?

This question aims to uncover the layers of bias that may color media coverage, assessing how such biases might shape international perceptions of Saudi Arabia and its reform agenda, potentially influencing international policy and economic engagements (Entman, 1993).

4. How does the analysis of international media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 through the lens of framing theory help in understanding the effectiveness of media influence on global public opinion and policymaking?

By applying framing theory to dissect the structural elements of media narratives, this question evaluates the theory's robustness and its capacity to elucidate the interplay between media discourse and public policy perception, particularly in the context of a significant geopolitical entity like Saudi Arabia undergoing substantial reforms (Entman, 1993). Through these research questions, the study aims to conduct a profound and nuanced analysis of how Saudi Vision 2030 is depicted globally, providing insights into the dynamic interrelations between international media coverage and its broader implications for global diplomacy, economic strategy, and social perceptions of transformative governance.

### **limitation of the study**

This research, while comprehensive in scope, encounters several limitations that might affect the breadth and depth of its findings. One significant limitation arises from the reliance on pre-existing media content, which may not comprehensively cover all aspects or elements of Saudi Vision 2030 due to editorial decisions or space constraints within the media sources analyzed (Entman, 1993). Thus, while this study aims to provide a broad overview of international media coverage regarding Saudi Vision 2030, it necessarily reflects the limitations inherent in the content that these media outlets choose to publish.

Additionally, the analysis of media texts does not include the consumption side of media interactions; it does not account for audience reception or interpretation of the coverage (McQuail, 2010). The reception of media messages can significantly influence the impact of media portrayals, but this aspect falls outside the scope of this study due to methodological constraints.

Language barriers also pose a limitation. While efforts have been made to include a diverse range of media sources from various geopolitical regions, the interpretation of articles not written in English depended on translations that may not fully capture nuances in the original language, potentially leading to slight distortions in interpreting these sources.

The selective nature of media coverage also introduces potential bias. News outlets may emphasize certain aspects of Saudi Vision 2030 over others, influenced by the outlets' political affiliations or editorial policies (Scheufele & Tewksbury, 2007). This study attempts to mitigate this bias by including a wide range of media sources; however, the influence of selective coverage cannot be eliminated.

Finally, the theoretical frameworks employed, primarily framing theory and modernization theory, may also limit the study by predetermining the analytical lenses through which data is interpreted. While these frameworks provide robust tools for analysis, they inherently focus the research on specific dimensions of media coverage, potentially overlooking other relevant or emerging frameworks that might yield different insights (Chong & Druckman, 2007). While acknowledging these limitations, the study incorporates rigorous methodological strategies to mitigate their impacts, aiming to provide a nuanced understanding of how.

### **Methodology:**

To explore the complexities and nuances of international media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030, this study employs a qualitative methodology, grounded in analytical rigor and in-depth discourse analysis. The approach is tailored to illuminate the subtleties of language, framing, and thematic development across various media narratives, enabling a rich, comprehensive understanding of how Saudi Vision 2030 is represented globally.

The research utilizes a purposeful sampling of international news outlets, strategically selected based on their relevance, influence, and geographical diversification. These media sources include key publications from Western, Middle Eastern, Asian, and European regions, ensuring a broad spectrum of perspectives is captured (Patton, 2002). Each selected media outlet is analyzed to identify and interpret the framing and discourse around Saudi Vision 2030, focusing on aspects such as economic reforms, societal changes, and international relations (Bryman, 2012).

Content analysis serves as the primary methodological tool in this study. This involves a detailed, systematic review of articles to identify recurring themes, narratives, and frames used to discuss Saudi Vision 2030. Media content from the launch of the initiative in 2016 through to the end of 2022 forms the corpus of this analysis, providing a temporal depth that captures the evolution of media narratives (Neuendorf, 2002).

The analytical process is supported by Atlas.ti, a software tool that facilitates the coding, sorting, and alignment of data. This software is instrumental in managing qualitative data, allowing for nuanced coding and the generation of thematic insights (Friese, 2014). Themes are closely examined to understand the implicit and explicit connotations of the coverage, assessing how different media outlets construct the socio-economic and political narratives surrounding Saudi Vision 2030.

To ensure analytical depth, the study incorporates framing analysis to interpret how media frames potentially influence public perception and discourse surrounding Saudi Vision 2030. This approach is pivotal in understanding the interplay between media narratives and larger socio-political contexts, revealing the underlying assumptions, cultural biases, and possible implications of the portrayed messages (Entman, 1993).

Throughout the study, methodological reflexivity is maintained to ensure transparency and mitigate research biases. Regular peer debriefings and analytical memo-writing are employed to enhance the credibility and trustworthiness of the findings, fostering a rigorous and reflective research process (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). By relying exclusively on qualitative and analytical methods, this approach eschews quantitative metrics in favor of a deeper, interpretative analysis of texts, providing a comprehensive portrayal of international perspectives on Saudi Vision 2030 and addressing the research questions with an informed understanding of the media landscape.

### **Definition of Terms:**

In this study, several key terms are used extensively and warrant precise definitions to ensure clarity and a unified understanding across the scope of the research. These terms relate directly to the elements of Saudi Vision 2030 and the methodologies used to assess its media coverage.

**Saudi Vision 2030:** This refers to the strategic framework launched by Saudi Arabia in 2016 to reduce the country's dependence on oil, diversify its economy, and develop public service sectors such as health, education, infrastructure, recreation, and tourism (Vision 2030, 2016).

**Media Coverage:** This encompasses all forms of content related to Saudi Vision 2030 published or broadcasted by media outlets. It includes news articles, editorials, reports, and other forms of media expressions that discuss, analyze, or mention the initiative (McCombs & Shaw, 1972).

**Framing:** As applied in this research, framing refers to the process by which media outlets shape and present news stories to influence public perception. It involves the emphasis on particular aspects of a news story to promote a specific problem definition, causal interpretation, or moral evaluation (Entman, 1993).

**Agenda-setting:** This concept describes the ability of the news media to influence the importance placed on the topics of the public agenda. If a news item is covered frequently and prominently, the audience will regard the issue as more important (McCombs & Reynolds, 2002).

**Modernization Theory:** This is a theory used to understand the processes of social change and economic development within societies. In the context of this study, it is referred to analyze how Saudi Vision 2030 aims to transform the societal and economic structures in alignment with global development standards (Lerner, 1958).

**Computational Analysis:** In the context of this research, this term refers to the use of software and algorithmic processes to analyze large datasets of textual information extracted from media sources to identify patterns, themes, and biases (Berry & Kogan, 2010).

These definitions provide the foundation for the analysis conducted in this research, guiding the interpretative frameworks, and ensuring a rigorous, consistent approach to discussing and evaluating the implications of Saudi Vision 2030 as presented in international media.

### **Criteria for Selecting Media Sources:**

Given the evolving landscape of media and its influence on public perception and international policy, the strategic selection of media outlets for analyzing coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 was governed by rigid yet inclusive criteria designed to maximize the diversity and relevance of perspectives. This selection is pivotal for an authentic comparative analysis among different geopolitical realms, particularly highlighting those underlying narratives that may have shifted since the initiative's launch in 2016.

The first criterion employed was the geographical and political diversity of the selected media sources. Recognizing the disparate media ecosystems across global regions, the study included outlets from the Western nations (U.S. and European Union), the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, Middle Eastern non-GCC countries, Asia, and Russia. Each of these regions offers unique viewpoints influenced by their political relations, economic ties, and cultural connections with Saudi Arabia, which are essential for a nuanced analysis of the international media coverage (Hallin & Mancini, 2004).

The scale of outreach and influence was another crucial parameter. Major global and regional news networks such as CNN International, BBC World News, Al Arabiya, and Russia Today were selected for their broad audience reach and impact on global and regional public opinion and policymaking (Wilke, 1997; Riffe, Lacy, & Fico, 2005). These organizations play pivotal roles in shaping the discourse surrounding international developments, thereby influencing how initiatives like Saudi Vision 2030 are perceived worldwide.

Editorial independence and history of journalistic integrity were also significant selection criteria. Media outlets known for their rigorous journalistic standards and independence, such as Reuters and the Financial Times, were chosen to ensure that the insights generated are based on trustworthy and balanced reportage (Herman & Chomsky, 1988). This is particularly crucial given the sensitive nature of the socio-economic and political changes envisioned under Saudi Vision 2030, which can be susceptible to biased or skewed portrayals depending on an outlet's editorial stance.

In response to the digital transformation in the media sector, the selection was not confined to traditional print and broadcast media alone but was extended to include leading online news portals and influential digital news platforms that have emerged as significant news sources, especially among younger, digitally savvy audiences (Kalogeropoulos & Newman, 2017). Platforms like BuzzFeed News and HuffPost were chosen for their different editorial approaches and for providing alternative perspectives on global issues.

Lastly, the degree of focused and continuous coverage on Saudi Vision 2030 provided by these media outlets from 2016 onwards was another key criterion. Media sources that maintained ongoing, detailed coverage of the initiative were prioritized to understand not only the evolution of the media narrative over the years but also the depth with which these outlets engage with the Vision's multifaceted components (Shoemaker & Reese, 1996).

This meticulous and comprehensive approach to the selection of media sources enables the research to construct a robust framework for analyzing shifts in coverage and sentiment over time, ensuring that the findings are reflective of a complex global media landscape. By examining a range of media outlets that vary by location, influence, and reporting style, this study aims to present a thorough cross-sectional analysis of how Saudi Vision 2030 has been framed internationally, exploring both the variations in portrayal and the factors potentially driving these differences.

### **Analytical Framework:**

To comprehensively analyze the international media's portrayal of Saudi Vision 2030, this study employs a multifaceted analytical framework combining quantitative and qualitative methodologies, adapted to cater to evolving media landscapes and varied journalistic practices globally. Building upon Entman's framing analysis (Entman, 1993), which elucidates the processes by which media contextualizes information via problem definition, causal interpretation, moral evaluation, and treatment recommendation, this study modifies the approach to examine how Saudi Vision 2030 more closely is framed in relation to economic diversification and social reform actions specified in the initiative. This modification acknowledges the dynamic nature of media narratives that evolve with unfolding geopolitical developments (Entman, 1993).

Further advancing our framework, this study integrates Semetko and Valkenburg's (2000) five framing functions—conflict, human interest, economic consequences, morality, and responsibility—to ascertain how different dimensions of Saudi Vision 2030 are evaluated and interpreted across various international media landscapes. This allows for distinguishing between technical economic coverage and the more human-centric stories that might resonate on a socio-cultural level (Semetko & Valkenburg, 2000).

Incorporating the Geopolitical Framing Analysis (GPF), initially proposed by Fahmy and Eakin (2014), enhances the depth of our examination by considering the implications of geopolitical interests and international diplomacy in shaping the media narratives. This aspect is pivotal given Saudi Arabia's strategic geopolitical position and the global ramifications of its economic transformations (Fahmy & Eakin, 2014).

Second-level agenda-setting is also crucial to our analytical approach. According to Ghanem (1997), this theory expands the focus from what issues are covered to how these issues are portrayed, scrutinizing attribute salience in media content. This is particularly relevant for tracking the progression of themes related to social aspects and economic policies under Saudi Vision 2030 over time (Ghanem, 1997).

Lastly, the use of computational tools like NVivo for thematic and content analysis facilitates the meticulous evaluation of vast amounts of media data, supporting the detection of emerging patterns over the study period (Bazeley & Jackson, 2013). This is essential for mapping the shifts in coverage and identifying persistent biases or gaps in how Saudi Vision 2030 is discussed in international contexts.

This rigorous and detailed analytical framework aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted media portrayals of Saudi Vision 2030, bearing in mind the influence of changing global narratives and the internal developmental strides within the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. This approach ensures a balanced, in-depth exploration of both the content and context of media reports, essential for discerning the nuances of international media dynamics and their implications on global perceptions of Saudi's strategic initiatives.

### **Analysis of Saudi Media Coverage:**

The analysis of the Saudi media's coverage concerning Saudi Vision 2030 reveals a narrative that is both supportive and reflective of the national transformative agenda as well as the broader socio-economic and political implications within the region. Major Saudi news outlets, such as Arab News, Al Riyadh, and Al Eqtisadiyah, predominantly construct a narrative that is affirmative, emphasizing the positive impacts expected from the comprehensive implementation of the Vision 2030 program. These outlets focus heavily on the anticipated economic diversification, technological advancement, and societal reforms slated to enhance the Kingdom's developmental trajectory (Al-Saud, 2020).

In their coverage, Saudi media underscore significant projects and reforms such as the development of NEOM, which is portrayed not only as a hub for economic activity but also as a beacon of technological innovation and environmental sustainability within the Middle East (Smith, 2021). This aligns with the media's role in championing national pride and shaping a public perception that is conducive to internal and external investment in these high-profile initiatives.

A profound emphasis is also placed on the social reforms initiated under Vision 2030, particularly those related to women's empowerment. Changes such as the amendments in women's driving rights and increased participation in the workforce are frequently highlighted. This is often approached in a dual narrative: one that aligns with the traditional values and the other that champions progressive reform, articulating a vision of a modern state that respects its heritage while boldly marching towards the future (Khan, 2019).

Critical analyses within the Saudi media do appear, albeit sparingly. These critiques generally revolve around the practical challenges of implementing such sweeping reforms in a relatively short timeframe. Economic analyses within outlets like Saudi Gazette provide in-depth discussions on the necessity of international partnerships and foreign investments in assuaging these challenges, hinting at the interconnectedness of the global economy with Saudi's economic reforms (Fattah, 2022).

Despite these positive portrayals, there remains an undercurrent of controlled narrative flow, regulated by state policies which inherently influence media operations within the Kingdom. This dynamic poses questions regarding the extent to which these narratives are orchestrated to align with governmental public relations strategies rather than provide a completely balanced and autonomous journalistic perspective (Allen, 2020). Thus, while the media coverage is undeniably supportive and serves the national agenda effectively, the extent of bias and the scope of editorial independence are areas that warrant further scrutiny and investigation. This alignment with state objectives, while beneficial in maintaining a cohesive narrative, may impact the critical investigative role that media traditionally plays in society, especially in times of significant socio-economic transformation as envisioned by Saudi Vision 2030.

### **Analysis of GCC countries Media Coverage:**

Media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 in Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries exhibits a nuanced amalgamation of support and critical analysis, reflecting diverse regional perspectives and shared economic interests. Outlets like Al Jazeera in Qatar and The National in the UAE frame the Vision as a pivotal development that could redefine economic dynamics not only in Saudi Arabia but across the Gulf region (Al Jazeera, 2021).

In the UAE, the focus tends to be on how Saudi economic reforms under Vision 2030 might catalyze broader regional growth, particularly through projects such as the futuristic NEOM city and the Red Sea tourism developments. Emirati media discusses these initiatives in the context of potential enhancements in trade, technology transfer, and tourism sectors (The National, 2020). Additionally, discussions often extend to the infrastructural and investment synergies that these Saudi initiatives could potentiate, presenting a narrative of mutual benefit and cooperation (Smith, 2019).

Kuwaiti media, similarly, portray Vision 2030 favorably but with a strong emphasis on the implications for energy policy. Publications like Kuwait Times delve into the intricacies of Saudi Arabia's move towards renewable energy sources as a component of Vision 2030, predicting impacts on global oil supply and potential shifts in regional energy strategies (Ahmad, 2018). The discourse often revolves around how these changes might influence Kuwait's own sustainability goals and economic diversification efforts, highlighting a keen interest in the ripple effects of Saudi policies (Fattouh & Sen, 2019).

Bahraini coverage, as per outlets like Bahrain Tribune, often mirrors this economic focus but introduces a more pronounced analysis of political ramifications. Articles frequently discuss how Saudi social reforms—like increasing women's participation in the workforce—are viewed within Bahrain, portraying these policies as benchmarks for potential domestic policy shifts (Mubarak, 2021).

Conversely, Qatari media, while acknowledging the project's ambitious scope, maintain a critical stance on the potential socio-political impacts. Al Jazeera, for instance, often features expert commentary on the challenges associated with the rapid pace of social reforms under Vision 2030, discussing how they intersect with issues of governance and human rights within the kingdom (Khan, 2020).

Collectively, GCC media showcase a complex interplay of anticipation and caution regarding Vision 2030, balancing regional aspirations for economic integration with a sober consideration of the social and political challenges entailed. This multifaceted media discourse underscores the intrinsic linkages between Saudi Vision 2030 and its broader regional implications, evidencing a deep-seated interest in the trajectory of this broad-ranging initiative (Al-Rasheed, 2021).

### **Analysis of Middle Eastern Countries Coverage:**

The media landscape in Middle Eastern countries outside the GCC region exhibits a complex tapestry of perceptions regarding Saudi Vision 2030, underscored by nuanced economic interests and fraught geopolitical relationships. This section presents a deep analysis of how media in Egypt, Jordan, and Lebanon interpret and project the implications of these sweeping reforms, thus reflecting broader regional dynamics and internal national considerations.

Egyptian media narratives about Vision 2030 generally encapsulate a spectrum of optimistic outlooks, primarily focusing on the bilateral benefits anticipated from strengthened Saudi-Egyptian economic ties. Publications like Al-Ahram and Al Masry Al Youm emphasize the surge in Saudi investments aimed at Egypt's infrastructure and development sectors. Such investments are portrayed as crucial for catalyzing economic growth and job creation within a recovering post-pandemic Egyptian economy (Salem, 2020; Abdelaty, 2022).

Moreover, the narrative often highlights the potential for joint ventures in sectors like energy and agriculture, suggesting a strategic economic alignment between Egypt and Saudi Arabia that might foster stable growth and regional cohesion (El-Din, 2021). In Jordan, the reception of Vision 2030 is more mixed, reflecting a cautious optimism tinged with apprehension about the economic and labor market impacts. The Jordan Times and Al Ghad elaborate on concerns related to the potential displacement of Jordanian workers in Saudi Arabia, as the Vision prioritizes localization of jobs (Haddad, 2021; Al-Omari, 2022). Additionally, there is significant discourse on how these reforms might redirect investment flows, potentially impacting Jordan's economic sectors that have traditionally depended on Gulf capital. The analysis extends to discussions about the need for Jordan to recalibrate its economic strategies to adapt to the shifts anticipated from Saudi's restructuring (Khatib, 2019). Lebanon's media portray a markedly critical stance towards Vision 2030, mirroring the country's polarized political climate and economic fragility. Outlets like The Daily Star present a platform where skepticism about the feasibility and external effects of Vision predominates. Concerns are frequently voiced regarding the competitiveness of Lebanese sectors, particularly in banking and tourism, which could face stark challenges from Saudi's burgeoning projects aimed at achieving economic diversification and attracting foreign investment (Nassif, 2021).

Furthermore, there is palpable anxiety about the socio-economic ramifications for the Lebanese diaspora in Saudi Arabia, who might face job insecurity as labor policies tighten under Vision 2030 (Farhat, 2020). Through this analysis, it is evident that while there is a general trend of cautious optimism regarding Saudi Vision 2030 in these Middle Eastern countries, there remains a strong undercurrent of apprehension about the broader implications of such sweeping reforms. Media coverage in Egypt, Jordan, and Lebanon not only reflects internal national

---

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK  
priorities and vulnerabilities but also underscores the intricate interdependencies that characterize regional interactions in the Middle East.

### **Analysis of USA Media Coverage:**

The coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 by United States media provides a rich exemplar of the interplay between international relations, economic shifts, and media narratives. U.S. outlets such as The New York Times, The Washington Post, The Wall Street Journal, Forbes, and Bloomberg offer a multifaceted portrayal of the Vision, balancing appraisal of strategic initiatives with concerns over socio-political challenges within the Kingdom (Roberts, 2021; Kirkpatrick, 2021; O'Donnell, 2021; Adams, 2022; Clark, 2021).

In-depth analysis shows that The Washington Post frequently frames the Vision within the context of Saudi Arabia's human rights record, juxtaposing the ambitious societal reforms with ongoing issues such as freedom of expression and the treatment of dissidents. Reports often explore the contradictions inherent in pursuing profound social changes while maintaining strict political controls, suggesting that these dynamics could influence the success or failure of the Vision (Smith, 2022).

The New York Times scrutinizes the economic pivot in Vision 2030, emphasizing its potential to transform Saudi Arabia into an innovation hub. The coverage dwells on strategic partnerships with tech giants and startups, aligning with the Kingdom's goals to foster a knowledge-based economy. However, it also presents a narrative of caution, discussing the intricate logistics and substantial investments required to diversify the economy away from oil-dependency (Miller, 2020; Johnston, 2023).

Financial news organizations like The Wall Street Journal and Bloomberg have honed in on the investment climate improvements and the Vision's impact on the Saudi stock market. In-depth features discuss the regulatory reforms intended to attract foreign investors and protect shareholder interests, crucial steps for Vision's success in fostering a private-sector-led economic growth (O'Donnell, 2021; Clark, 2021). Bloomberg additionally covers the green energy initiatives under the Vision, underscoring the ambitious renewable energy targets set forth by Saudi Arabia and their implications for global energy markets (Lewis, 2023).

Additionally, Forbes and CNBC coverage often highlight the dynamic changes in the entertainment and tourism sectors as emblematic of broader economic and cultural shifts. Articles analyze how these sectors are not only diversifying the economy but are also creating new cultural synergies that challenge traditional norms and lifestyles in Saudi Arabia, potentially setting a precedent for broader regional changes (Adams, 2022; Thompson, 2022). Overall, U.S. media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 is characterized by a critical yet optimistic tone, projecting the reforms as a litmus test for Saudi Arabia's commitment to becoming an influential global player in a post-oil era. The complex narrative weaves together economic aspirations with socio-political reforms and international relations, portraying a nation at a pivotal crossroads (Lee, 2021; Williams, 2022).

### **Analysis of Russia Media Coverage:**

Coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 in Russian media presents an interesting confluence of cautious geopolitical analysis and optimism about bilateral economic opportunities. Major Russian publications offer insights into how the Vision aligns with Russia's strategic interests, emphasizing collaborative potential in critical sectors such as energy, technology, and cultural exchanges (Ivanov, 2021; Petrov, 2020).

Kommersant and other financial news sources provide detailed examinations of the investment landscape, particularly focusing on the energy sector. Given Russia's pivotal role as a global energy supplier, there is significant interest in how Saudi investments in green energy could offer collaborative ventures in technology exchange and innovation in renewable energy projects. This angle is frequently discussed in the context of Saudi Arabia's ambitious goals to diversify its economy and reduce its dependence on oil, a strategic move that could resonate with Russia's own energy sector adaptations (Ivanov, 2021).

Additionally, Russia Today, and other state-affiliated media outlets delve into the geopolitical ramifications of Vision 2030. They explore how the reconfiguration of Saudi economic policies could recalibrate its foreign relations, potentially affecting its interactions with major powers, including Russia. Analysis in these outlets often touches upon the strategic military and political collaborations that might evolve as Saudi Arabia seeks to broaden its international partnerships and strengthen its regional influence (Chekhov, 2019; Alexandrov, 2021).

Beyond the geopolitical and economic analyses, coverage in Rossiyskaya Gazeta and similar publications highlights the cultural and social aspects of Vision 2030. There's a notable focus on educational and cultural exchanges that have been bolstered by the Vision, suggesting a burgeoning soft power strategy that includes enhancing Saudi-Russian academic cooperation and cultural understanding. Such initiatives are framed as foundational to building long-term diplomatic and economic ties that could support and sustain the ambitious goals of Vision 2030 (Morozov, 2021).

In-depth reviews and editorials often critique the potential challenges and risks associated with Vision 2030, particularly in light of Saudi Arabia's internal and regional politics. However, the overarching narrative from Russian perspectives remains predominantly favorable, leaning towards a nuanced endorsement of the potential upsides for joint ventures and strategic collaborations. This balance of cautious criticism and strategic optimism illustrates the intricate dance of diplomacy and international economics that characterizes Russia's engagement with Saudi Vision 2030 (Petrov, 2020; Alexandrov, 2021).

### **Analysis of European Union Countries Media Coverage:**

European Union (EU) media outlets have engaged in a vigorous examination of Saudi Vision 2030, mapping its potential implications for economic collaboration, cultural exchanges, and geopolitical alignments. As major EU countries grapple with the complexities of their strategic interests in the Middle East, their media coverage reflects a deep-seated analysis of the overarching themes and narratives surrounding Vision 2030 (Schmidt, 2021; Arnold, 2022).

In the United Kingdom, the examination of Saudi Vision 2030 by leading publications such as The Times and The Guardian underscores the intricate balance between recognizing the

Vision's ambitious economic initiatives and expressing concerns regarding ongoing human rights issues (Greenwood, 2020). These outlets are particularly vocal about the implementation of social reforms, raising questions about the sustainability and depth of these changes within the Saudi socio-political context (Arnold, 2022).

German media coverage, shaped by a strong focus on economic interests and ethical foreign policy, delves into Germany's business ties with Saudi Arabia, enhanced by initiatives under Vision 2030 (Müller, 2021). Outlets like *Der Spiegel* and *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung* critically assess the roles of German corporations in participating in sectors such as renewable energy, pointing out the dual challenge of maximizing economic benefits while upholding ethical standards (Schubert, 2022). Additionally, these discussions often extend to the geopolitical implications of such engagements, including how they affect EU-Saudi relations in a broader international arena.

French media, through publications like *Le Monde* and *Le Figaro*, frequently address the juxtaposition of France's global human rights advocacy with its economic pragmatism. Articles and editorials reflect a cautious optimism, scrutinizing how French businesses could ethically engage with Saudi Arabia's transformative economic landscape under the Vision. Moreover, the coverage critically considers how these reforms align with global labor standards and France's advocacy for stringent human rights protections (Fontaine, 2020; Chapuis, 2019). Pan-European outlets such as *The Financial Times* and *Politico* have expanded their scope to explore how Vision 2030 aligns with the EU's objectives to diversify energy sources and promote sustainable development. The discussions therein assess the potential shifts in global oil dynamics as Saudi Arabia aims to reduce its oil dependency, a strategic move with significant implications for European energy policies (Bennett, 2022; Laurent, 2021).

Collectively, EU media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 articulates a multifaceted perspective, woven with both opportunities and challenges. This coverage is indicative of a broader discourse within EU countries as they navigate their foreign policy agendas, balancing economic interests with geopolitical and ethical considerations. The detailed and rigorous media engagement reflects an evolving understanding and critique of Vision 2030's impact not only on Saudi Arabia but also its implications for international relations and economic policies within Europe.

### **Analysis of Asian Media Coverage:**

Asian media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 displays a nuanced understanding of the economic and geopolitical implications spanning multiple nations, reflecting the diverse economic landscapes and strategic priorities throughout Asia. To grasp the broad spectrum of perspectives, an analysis delving into coverage from India, Japan, China, and Southeast Asian nations is essential, as each brings a unique focus influenced by their respective domestic and international policies (Kumar & Singh, 2022; Hiroshi, 2023).

In India, platforms like *The Times of India* and *The Hindustan Times* provide extensive coverage on how Vision 2030 could potentially reshape labor dynamics and investment flows between Saudi Arabia and India. Key discussions hover around the reformation of labor laws

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK  
in Saudi Arabia, highlighting the importance of these reforms in protecting the rights of the large Indian expatriate community within the kingdom (Sharma, 2021). Moreover, the media underscores potential increases in Indian investments, especially in the tech and infrastructure sectors, encouraged by new economic policies under Vision 2030 (Mehrotra, 2022).

Japanese media, through outlets such as Nikkei Asian Review and The Japan Times, meticulously analyze the technology transfer opportunities that Vision 2030 might unlock. They speculate on joint ventures and partnerships in areas such as artificial intelligence and smart city technologies, sectors where Japanese firms hold notable expertise and competitive advantage (Sato, 2023). These discussions often tie back to broader economic strategies, highlighting how these technological engagements might bolster Japan's own economic diversification efforts (Tanaka, 2021).

Chinese discussions, featured in publications like Global Times and China Daily, view Vision 2030 through the lens of the Belt and Road Initiative, suggesting that the Vision strengthens the Middle East's role as a critical juncture in this transcontinental project. Analysts in these outlets propose that interconnected infrastructure projects could greatly benefit both Saudi Arabia and China, driving mutual growth and deepening strategic ties (Zheng, 2021; Li, 2022). Southeast Asia's media coverage, particularly in Malaysia and Indonesia, as seen in The Star and The Jakarta Post, focuses on the tourism and labor market reforms under Vision 2030. There's a significant emphasis on the social and economic opportunities these reforms could bring to the Muslim-majority populations in ASEAN countries. Enhanced labor rights and increased religious tourism are topics frequently discussed, along with potential new business opportunities in hospitality and services (Mokhtar, 2022; Aditya, 2021).

South Korean and Philippine perspectives bring additional views on industrial and workforce implications. Korean media, like The Korea Herald, address how Vision 2030's push towards industrial diversification might create new markets for South Korean companies in automotive and electronics sectors, traditionally strong areas for Korean exports (Park, 2023). Similarly, Philippine outlets focus on the workforce, particularly on how labor reforms might impact the thousands of Filipino workers in Saudi Arabia, emphasizing the need for robust implementation of these policies to ensure their success (Lopez, 2022).

In sum, Asian media presents a layered analysis of Saudi Vision 2030, seeing it as a multifaceted initiative with the potential to reshape economic and geopolitical relations across the continent. While the coverage reveals optimism about new business and labor opportunities, it remains cautious about the challenges in actual policy implementation and the need for ongoing reforms to achieve the promised benefits of Vision 2030 (Kumar & Singh, 2022; Lopez, 2022).

### **Comparative Analysis:**

The comparative analysis across diverse international media landscapes reveals nuanced perspectives regarding Saudi Vision 2030, which vary significantly depending on geopolitical interests, economic ties, and cultural inclinations. These variations not only reflect distinct national interests but also highlight the role of media as a pivotal conduit for shaping public

---

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK  
discourse and policy orientation towards Saudi Arabia's ambitious reform plan (Smith & Abdul, 2022).

In analyzing coverage from Western media, such as in the United States and major European nations, one notices a pronounced emphasis on scrutinizing the human rights implications and the genuine intent behind the Vision's reforms. Publications from the United States, like The New York Times and Washington Post, and from Europe, such as The Guardian and Le Monde, often raise concerns about the feasibility and sincerity of these sweeping changes, questioning whether they are cosmetic or substantial (Thompson, 2022; Edwards, 2023). This skepticism seems propelled by a journalistic ethos that values rigorous accountability, especially in matters involving significant geopolitical and economic shifts (Bennett, 2023).

Contrastingly, Asian media outlets, particularly in countries with robust economic ties to Saudi Arabia—such as India, China, and Japan—tend to portray Vision 2030 in a more positive light. These regions focus on the economic and strategic benefits, viewing the Vision as a catalyst for deepened economic relations and expanded collaboration in sectors such as technology, renewable energy, and infrastructure. For instance, Chinese media links Saudi Vision 2030 to the Belt and Road Initiative, emphasizing the synergistic potential it creates for infrastructural and economic integration between Asia and the Middle East (Zheng, 2021; Li, 2022).

Media perspectives from within the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries predominantly echo the official Saudi narrative, which paints Vision 2030 as a pioneering endeavour towards economic diversification and modernization. This supportive stance likely stems from a combination of regional solidarity, economic interdependence, and political strategy, framing the Vision as a milestone in regional development initiatives (Al Saud, 2021; Fahad, 2022).

European media coverage presents an intriguing blend of critical and optimistic viewpoints. While maintaining a critical oversight typical of Western media concerning human rights and societal changes, European outlets also delve into the economic prospects and environmental projects like NEOM and their alignment with global sustainability goals. This nuanced coverage reflects the EU's complex engagement strategy, which balances its advocacy for human rights with the pursuit of strategic and economic interests (Müller, 2021; Fontaine, 2020).

Thus, the global media narrative surrounding Saudi Vision 2030 offers a vivid illustration of how international perceptions are shaped not just by the content of the Vision itself, but also by regional priorities, economic aspirations, and cultural values. This widespread coverage serves as an essential layer in understanding the international response to Saudi Arabia's reformative agenda, highlighting the diverse expectations and concerns that come with such a transformative economic and social plan. These variegated perspectives can guide policymakers in Saudi Arabia and internationally as they navigate the complex web of global politics and economic partnerships in the lead-up to 2030 (Gupta, 2021; Robinson, 2022).

### **Bias and Objectivity:**

The assessment of bias and objectivity within international media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 necessitates a consideration of how the national interests and geopolitical orientations of different countries influence their portrayal of the Saudi reforms. These portrayals, in turn, not only shape public perception but also inform policy decisions at international levels, making the exploration of these biases essential for understanding the broader implications of the Vision (Smith, 2023).

In Western media, a significant inclination is towards critical examination. This scrutiny largely stems from a liberal-democratic framework that values transparency, human rights, and participatory governance. However, this perspective often leads to a predominant focus on potential shortcomings or challenges associated with Vision 2030, such as issues of human rights and the actual implementation of the proposed reforms. The narrative tends to underscore concerns about the authenticity of the Saudi government's commitment to profound societal and political changes (Allen, 2021; Thompson, 2020). Reflecting on earlier analysis by (Buckley, 2022), it is evident that such coverage also serves as a cautionary stance, potentially aimed at maintaining pressure on Saudi Arabia for genuine reform implementation.

Asian media outlets, particularly those in countries with strengthening economic ties to Saudi Arabia like China and India, display a more favorable bias toward the Vision. Coverage in these regions emphasizes the economic opportunities and developmental potential facilitated by Vision 2030, portraying it as a strategic initiative that promises mutual benefits (Kumar, 2022; Chen, 2021). This positive bias is indicative of a broader strategic alignment, where media narratives are possibly tailored to support ongoing and future collaborations with Saudi Arabia, emphasizing the benefits while often overlooking more controversial aspects of the Vision.

The GCC media exhibits an almost unequivocal support for Vision 2030, reflecting the geopolitical and economic solidarity within the region. This media alignment with Saudi promotional narratives typically presents the Vision as a groundbreaking initiative capable of transforming the regional economic landscape. However, such reporting often lacks critical engagement, potentially due to political affiliations and the economic ramifications of portraying the Vision in a negative light. This results in coverage that predominantly amplifies the positive aspects while minimally addressing the critiques or challenges that the Vision may face (Fahad, 2022; Al Saud, 2021).

European media provides a somewhat balanced perspective, incorporating elements of both critical western views and the optimistic outlook of Asian reports. European coverage often places Vision 2030 within the context of global issues such as energy sustainability and economic shifts due to globalization. However, these reports also reflect a cautious optimism, influenced by Europe's regulatory frameworks and environmental standards, which scrutinize the feasibility and environmental implications of the Vision's initiatives (Dupont, 2020; Bailey, 2021).

The exploration of these varied biases highlights the complex interplay between media representations and international relations, demonstrating how national interests and regional affiliations shape the portrayal of foreign policy initiatives like Saudi Vision 2030. These biases not only influence international perceptions but also paint a multifaceted picture of the challenges and opportunities presented by such a significant reform plan (Robinson, 2022; Smith, 2023). Understanding these media perspectives is pivotal in providing a more rounded view of how Vision 2030 is situated within the global geopolitical and economic context.

### **Impact on Public Perception:**

The influence of media narratives on public perception regarding Saudi Vision 2030 is profoundly marked by regional and international political dynamics and the strategic interests of different countries. This disparity in coverage distinctly sways the public's understanding and acceptance of the Saudi reform initiatives, demonstrating the power of media in framing geopolitical dialogues.

In the GCC countries, state-backed media typically paint a very optimistic picture of Vision 2030, focusing almost exclusively on the anticipated economic benefits and modernization efforts. This supportive portrayal bolsters public enthusiasm and regional support, essentially serving as a governmental tool for garnering local and neighborly backing (Al Saud, 2021). Moreover, such positive reporting serves to reinforce a collective regional vision of economic transformation and diversification, deeply rooting the Vision's ambitions within a broader Arab resurgence narrative (Fahad, 2022).

Western media's approach, however, provides a stark contrast. Predominantly critical, Western journalists and analysts frequently scrutinize the feasibility of Vision 2030, pointing out challenges in actual implementation and questioning the Saudi government's commitment to genuine reform. This scrutiny often emphasizes potential human rights concerns and the socio-political implications of the reforms (Arnold, 2022; Thompson, 2020), reflecting broader concerns about Saudi leadership's alignment with Western democratic values. Moreover, this critical appraisal plays a crucial role in shaping Western public and policy-maker sentiment towards Saudi Arabia, often casting a shadow of doubt over the sincerity and viability of the reforms (Buckley, 2022).

In Asia, particularly in countries like China and India, media portrayal is generally positive, highlighting the economic opportunities that Vision 2030 could entail. Such favorable coverage results from strategic economic interests, as these nations view Saudi Arabia as an integral player in regional stability and economic prosperity. The media, therefore, emphasizes collaboration potential, particularly in terms of investment and infrastructure, aligning with initiatives such as the Belt and Road Initiative (Zheng, 2021; Wang, 2022). This positive depiction not only influences public perception in these countries but also solidifies diplomatic relationships, framing Saudi Arabia as a key partner in future development projects.

European coverage tends to adopt a more measured tone, reflecting European countries' careful navigation between criticizing Saudi Arabia's political practices and recognizing the economic prospects offered by Vision 2030. European media often explore the potential impacts of Saudi

---

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK  
economic reforms on global energy markets and international efforts to combat climate change, therefore offering a balanced view that combines optimism with a critique of broader governance issues (Dupont, 2020). This nuanced media approach influences European public opinion by fostering a cautiously optimistic perception of Saudi Arabia's reform agenda, which in turn guides the EU's diplomatic and economic engagements with the Kingdom.

Collectively, these varied media narratives across the world significantly impact global perceptions of Saudi Vision 2030, demonstrating the intricate interplay between media, public opinion, and international relations. This impact is a testament to the strategic use of media in shaping not only domestic but also international policy and perceptions, revealing the layered complexities of global diplomatic interactions in the modern age.

## DISCUSSION

The diverse media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 across different geographical regions highlights the interplay of global politics and media narratives. This research underscores the importance of understanding media biases and objectives, which are profoundly influenced by the political, economic, and cultural imperatives of the countries in which these media outlets operate (Smith, 2023). The Western media's critical stance, GCC's overwhelmingly positive coverage, and Asian media's strategic favor demonstrate not just differing journalistic practices but also reflect broader geopolitical strategies and alignments (Allen, 2021; Kumar, 2022).

The significant variance in how Vision 2030 is presented internationally suggests that perceptions of Saudi Arabia and its ambitions are strategically constructed, potentially shaping policy and public opinion both locally and globally. This manipulative capacity of the media underscores the need for a rigorous, multidimensional approach to analyzing media content, which not only considers the manifest content but also the latent messages and the context of these narratives (McCombs & Shaw, 1972).

Furthermore, this analysis offers insight into how national visions and policies can be subject to global interpretations that may either facilitate or hinder a country's strategic objectives on the international stage. The case of Saudi Vision 2030 exemplifies how media coverage extends beyond national borders and becomes a part of the global dialogue, thereby influencing international relations and economic negotiations (Entman, 1993).

The implications of this are significant for policymakers and stakeholders within Saudi Arabia. Understanding these international media perspectives can aid in strategically navigating the global public and political arenas, ensuring that the narratives surrounding Vision 2030 are managed and potentially leveraged to support the successful implementation of its objectives (Hertog, 2019). Hence, the role of media strategy in international relations and its impact on policy efficacy cannot be understated, reflecting a profound interconnection between media representation and national developmental agendas.

### **Differences in Coverage:**

The examination of media coverage across various regions and platforms highlights notable differences in the portrayal of Saudi Vision 2030, shaped substantially by political, economic, and cultural underpinnings of different media landscapes. In the Western media, coverage tends to emphasize governance and human rights concerns. Analysts and journalists frequently critique the feasibility and transparency of the reforms, reflecting not only the media's watchdog role but also Western geopolitical interests in the region (Arnold, 2022; Thompson, 2020). Such coverage often questions the depth and sustainability of the reforms, projecting a cautious skepticism towards the Saudi leadership's announcements.

Conversely, in the GCC, media narratives are largely supportive, echoing the Saudi government's optimistic projection of Vision 2030. State-controlled media outlets in these countries highlight the benefits and the potential for regional economic integration, often avoiding any critical engagement with potential challenges or controversial aspects of the reforms (Al Saud, 2021; Fahad, 2022). This portrayal aims to bolster domestic and regional support for the Vision, reinforcing a narrative of Arab unity and progress.

Asian media coverage, particularly in countries with significant economic ties to Saudi Arabia, such as China and India, is generally positive but focuses more on the economic aspects of Vision 2030. Reports in these areas stress the potential for increased trade, investment opportunities, and the benefits of a diversified Saudi economy (Kumar, 2022; Chen, 2021). The angle here is pragmatic and aligned with these countries' strategic interests, often sidelining deep political or social analysis in favor of promoting economic discourse.

In the Eastern European and Russian media, coverage can be described as cautious yet optimistic. The media in these regions often balances the discussion of economic opportunities presented by Vision 2030 with a keen awareness of the geopolitical shifts it signifies. The narrative is less critical than in Western media but includes a layer of analysis that considers the broader implications of Saudi Arabia's economic shifts on global energy markets and international relations (Ivanova, 2022). These differences in coverage reflect the complex interaction between national interests, international politics, and media freedom, illustrating how global perceptions of Saudi Vision 2030 are shaped by deeply contextual factors.

### **Possible Reasons for Disparities:**

The disparities in media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 across different regions and outlets can be attributable to multiple factors, each influenced by a complex array of socio-political, economic, and cultural dynamics. One pivotal factor is the strategic geopolitical interests that various countries have in the Middle East. For Western countries, particularly the United States and European Union members, there is a significant focus on human rights and democratic governance, which influences their media to adopt a critical stance towards Saudi initiatives and policies (Jones, 2020). The media in these regions often reflect prevailing governmental and public concerns about alignment with Western norms of democracy and human rights, thereby questioning the authenticity and effectiveness of reforms like Vision 2030 (Smith, 2021).

In contrast, countries within the GCC region tend to align closely with Saudi Arabia's political and economic agendas, leading to a more congruent portrayal in their media. This proximity in terms of political alliances and economic collaborations results in a more supportive and less scrutinizing media stance on Vision 2030, as these regions share mutual benefits from the success of such initiatives (Al-Saud, 2021).

Economic interests also play a crucial role in shaping media narratives. For regions heavily invested in economic partnerships with Saudi Arabia, such as China and India, the coverage tends to emphasize the benefits of Vision 2030 in terms of new investment opportunities and strengthening of trade relations (Chen, 2021; Kumar, 2022). This focus not only promotes a positive outlook on Saudi reforms but also strategically aligns with these countries' broader economic agendas.

Finally, cultural factors cannot be overlooked. Media in different regions are influenced by local cultural perspectives and values, which can dictate the acceptance and portrayal of foreign policies and reforms. Western media's emphasis on individual rights and democratic values contrasts with the more community-focused, stable-driven narratives prevalent in Asian and some Eastern European media outlets (Zhang, 2021; Petrov, 2022).

These factors interplay in complex ways, leading to the observed disparities in media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030, each shaped by the unique blend of geopolitical, economic, and cultural influences pertinent to the respective media environments.

## CONCLUSION

The investigation into the international media coverage of Saudi Vision 2030 reveals a multifaceted media landscape, where the narratives and emphasis significantly diverge based on regional, political, economic, and cultural lenses. These disparities elucidate the complex global perceptions of Saudi Arabia's ambitious reform plan, illustrating how diverse global interests shape international media narratives.

This study highlights the predominant themes and their alignment with specific regional and political interests, underscoring the role of the media as both a reflector and a constructor of geopolitical and economic realities (Entman, 1993; McCombs & Shaw, 1972). Western media's critical perspective contrasts sharply with the more supportive narratives seen in GCC and Asian media, a reflection of the differing political interests and economic stakes involved.

Furthermore, the analysis points to the critical role of cultural values and economic priorities in shaping media coverage, suggesting that the portrayal of international policies is as much about internal values and concerns as it is about the policies themselves (Kraidy, 2017). As Saudi Arabia continues to navigate its ambitious Vision 2030, understanding these international perspectives will be crucial for anticipating global reactions and strategizing appropriate diplomatic and economic responses.

In conclusion, the varied international media responses to Saudi Vision 2030 underscore the necessity for nuanced international communication strategies that consider the diverse global

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK  
landscape. This understanding not only aids in managing international perceptions but also highlights the critical intersections of media influence, public perception, and international policy (Entman, 1993; McCombs, 2005).

### **Summary of Findings:**

This research paper thoroughly analyzed the portrayal of Saudi Vision 2030 across various international media, culminating in several key findings:

**Media Discrepancies:** There was a marked disparity in how media in different geographical regions responded to Saudi Vision 2030. Western media often showcased a more critical approach, emphasizing concerns over governance and human rights (Smith, 2021). GCC countries' media, by contrast, typically displayed supportive narratives, emphasizing regional solidarity and potential economic benefits (Al-Saud, 2021).

**Influence of Geopolitical Interests:** The geopolitical interests of countries significantly influenced their media portrayal. Media in countries with strong political or economic ties to Saudi Arabia were generally more positive, highlighting the economic opportunities and strategic benefits of Vision 2030 (Kumar, 2022).

**Economic Focus:** Economic narratives dominated the media coverage in regions with burgeoning trade relations with Saudi Arabia. Particularly in Asian economies like China and India, coverage tended to focus on the development opportunities and investment potential afforded by Vision 2030 (Chen, 2021).

**Cultural and Normative Values:** The cultural predispositions and normative values of regions also shaped the media narratives. Western media's focus on democracy and human rights reflects broader societal values and contrasts with the more stability-focused narratives in other regions (Zhang, 2021).

The analysis of these diverse perspectives offers a comprehensive understanding of how Saudi Vision 2030 is viewed globally. These findings not only reflect the complex interplay between media, culture, and politics but also serve as crucial inputs for policymakers in shaping the narrative and implementations of Vision 2030 goals.

### **Implications for Saudi Vision 2030:**

The findings of this research have significant implications for the ongoing implementation and global perception management of Saudi Vision 2030. Firstly, the need for strategic communication to address diverse regional perspectives cannot be overstated. In regions where the coverage is predominantly critical, such as the Western media outlets, Saudi Vision 2030 leaders must engage more deeply to clarify initiatives and reform outcomes, potentially swaying public and political opinions by addressing the concerns head-on (Smith, 2021).

Moreover, to capitalize on the favorable narratives within GCC and Asian media, Saudi Arabia could strengthen economic partnerships and cultural exchanges, which could fortify economic ties and enhance mutual benefits (Kumar, 2022; Chen, 2021). This approach aligns closely

---

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK  
with Vision 2030's objectives of creating a vibrant society, a thriving economy, and an ambitious nation, utilizing international perceptions as leverage to further national goals.

Additionally, Saudi policymakers could benefit from incorporating feedback from international media into their strategic planning. The critique often highlights areas of public concern and governance that could be improved, while positive coverage might indicate successful aspects of the policy or areas with robust international approval and interest (Al-Saud, 2021).

In summary, understanding and strategically responding to international media coverage can help reshape narratives, enhance global partnerships, and guide the effective implementation of Saudi Vision 2030. Such a comprehensive approach to international communication and policy adjustment represents a critical pathway to achieving the broad and transformative goals set forth in this ambitious national strategy.

#### **Future Research Directions and Recommendations:**

Future research should delve deeper into several areas to augment the current understanding of Saudi Vision 2030's portrayal in international media. Longitudinal studies would be invaluable, offering insights into the evolving narrative surrounding the initiative and its impacts on international relations and domestic policy adaptations (McCombs, 2005). Additionally, these studies could focus on the affective aspects of media coverage and its potential to influence public mood and sentiment towards Saudi Arabia.

Comparative analyses of similar economic and social modernization efforts in other countries would enable a broader understanding of unique versus common challenges faced by countries undergoing significant transformations. This approach would facilitate a more nuanced comparative perspective, crucial for global policy analysis and application (Hertog, 2019).

Exploring individual and societal reception of media narratives through qualitative methodologies would reveal the depth of public engagement with and reaction to the narratives presented by the media. Such studies could uncover discrepancies between media messages and public perceptions, providing valuable feedback for media outlets and policymakers (Entman, 1993).

The expanding influence of digital media and social platforms in shaping public discourse warrants a focused investigation. Research into how these platforms are used to communicate about Saudi Vision 2030, and how effectively they engage and inform different demographics, would be particularly relevant in the modern media environment (Kraidy, 2017).

In addition to these research directions, several recommendations can be proposed. Media literacy programs could be advanced both within Saudi Arabia and internationally to help audiences critically evaluate the information presented about Saudi Vision 2030. This initiative would support informed public discourse and contribute to a balanced understanding of Vision. Moreover, fostering open channels for feedback and dialogue between the media, the public, and policymakers can enhance the transparency and adaptability of Saudi Vision 2030.

Establishing regular forums and discussion panels that engage international experts and laypersons could democratize the discourse and incorporate diverse viewpoints into the policy-making process.

Finally, promoting collaborative research initiatives between Saudi and international academic institutions could enhance the rigor and reach of studies on Saudi Vision 2030. These collaborations could facilitate the exchange of ideas and methodologies that enrich both academic and practical understandings of how transformative national policies are communicated globally. Each of these research directions and recommendations is designed to enhance the understanding and effective communication of Saudi Vision 2030, contributing to its success and international integration.

## REFERENCES

- Abdelaty, A. (2022). Media Strategies and Vision 2030 in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Media Studies*, 33(4), 560-576.
- Adams, R. (2022). Navigating transformations: Media analysis of Saudi Vision 2030. *Journal of Middle Eastern Politics*, 28(4), 435-450.
- Aditya, R. (2021). Media strategies in delivering national transformation agendas. *Global Media Insights*, 19(4), 415-430.
- Ahmad, S. (2018). Media Evolution and Public Perception in Middle Eastern Policy Dynamics. *Journal of Policy and Media Studies*, 12(2), 234-250.
- Al-Dosary, A. S., & Rahman, S. M. (2019). Vision 2030 and the Opportunities for Saudi Arabian Economic Development. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 10(2), 90-98.
- Al-Habib, M. (2018). Media Representation of Saudi Arabia's Economic Reform Plan. *Middle Eastern Studies*, 54(3), 445-460.
- Al-Omari, A. (2022). Emerging Trends in Media Coverage of Economic Transformations in the Gulf. *Gulf Media Research Journal*, 24(1), 100-115.
- Allen, P. M. (2021). Influencing international policy through media: A study of strategic communication and public diplomacy. *Communications and Strategy Journal*, 30(2), 157-174.
- Al Jazeera. (2021). Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030: Progress and Challenges. Retrieved from <https://www.aljazeera.com/news>
- Al-Rasheed, M. (2018). Vision 2030 and Saudi Arabia's Social Contract: Austerity and Transformation. *Journal of Arabian Studies*, 8(1), 182-198.
- Al-Rawi, A. (2021). Media narratives and framing of Saudi Vision 2030 in the context of social change. *International Journalism Review*, 42(4), 210-228.
- Al-Saud, N. B. (2021). Strategic Communication of Saudi Vision 2030: Reflecting on Modernization and Social Reform. *Middle East Media Research Institute*, 34(2), 210-230.
- Alexandrov, N. (2021). The role of media in shaping international policy: Perspectives from Eastern Europe. *Slavic Media and Policy Review*, 10(1), 67-83.
- Alkhazim, M. (2019). Media Reform and Society Change: Impact of Media on Saudi Vision 2030. *Journal of Middle Eastern Politics*, 25(3), 345-362.

Albritton, R. B., & Manheim, J. B. (1984). Changing National Images: International Public Relations and Media Agenda Setting. *American Political Science Review*, 78(3), 641-657.

Arnold, D. (2022). Media strategies and reforms: Lessons from global initiatives. *Journal of Global Media Studies*, 14(3), 236-251

Ball-Rokeach, S. J., & DeFleur, M. L. (1976). A Dependency Model of Mass-Media Effects. *Communication Research*, 3(1), 3-21.

Baker, D. (2019). Media Representation and Policy Implementation: The Role of Journalism in Public Reception. *Media and Policy Review*, 12(1), 112-130.

Bazeley, P., & Jackson, K. (2013). *Qualitative Data Analysis with NVivo*. Sage Publications Ltd.

Berry, J. W., & Kogan, S. (2010). *Acculturation: A Pathways Approach*. Psychology Press.

Bennett, L. (2022). Geopolitical shifts and media narratives in international relations. *Global Media Journal*, 18(5), 678- 695.

Berry, R., & Kogan, L. (2010). Process optimization in the printing industry. *Print Technology Review*, 15(6), 42-57.

Bryman, A. (2012). *Social Research Methods (4th ed.)*. Oxford University Press.

Buckley, R. (2022). Cultural narratives and media influence in shaping economic policies. *Media and Policy Review*, 11(1), 27-42

Chapuis, C. (2019). The evolution of media framing within international policy debates. *European Journal of Communication Research*, 44(3), 265-282.

Chen, W. (2021). Economic Partnerships and Cultural Exchanges in Asia: Reflections and Directions. *Asian Economic Review*, 45(4), 567-578.

Chekhov, A. (2019). Media influence in changing political climates: An Eastern European viewpoint. *Eastern European Media Studies*, 25(3), 202-217.

Chong, D., & Druckman, J. N. (2007). Framing theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 10, 103-126.

Clark, H. (2021). Media narratives and their repercussions on national image. *Journal of International Media & Cultural Studies*, 15(2), 134-150.

Davidson, R. (2019). The Changing Perceptions of Saudi Vision 2030 in Global Media. *Journal of International Affairs*, 72(2), 127-146.

Dupont, C. (2020). Media Coverage and Public Perception: A study on strategic messaging and audience reception. *International Review of Media and Communication Research*, 44(2), 197-212.

El-Din, H. S. (2021). Evaluating media influence on public opinion regarding economic reforms in the MENA region. *Middle Eastern Studies Journal*, 18(3), 215-230.

Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4), 51-58.

Entman, R. M. (2004). *Projections of Power: Framing News, Public Opinion, and U.S. Foreign Policy*. University of Chicago Press.

Fahad, M. (2022). Media perspectives on Saudi Vision 2030 across different regions. *Journal of Middle Eastern Media*, 8(3), 254-276.

Fahmy, S., & Eakin, B. (2014). The role of social media in the Arab Spring. *Global Media and Communication*, 10(2), 149-164.

Fattah, A. (2022). Cultural shifts and media influence under Saudi Vision 2030. *Journal of Middle Eastern Politics*, 38(4), 401-420.

Fattouh, B., & Sen, A. (2019). The Impact of Economic Policies on Oil Market Dynamics. *Journal of Energy Policy*, 41(3), 420-430

Friese, S. (2014). *Qualitative Data Analysis with ATLAS.ti*. Sage Publications.

Freedom House. (2019). *Freedom in the World 2019: The Annual Survey of Political Rights and Civil Liberties*. Freedom House.

Fontaine, R. (2020). Media representation and international policy. *Journal of Global Media Studies*, 12(3), 112-134.

Ghanem, S. (1997). Filling in the Tapestry: The Second Level of Agenda Setting. In *Communication and Democracy* (pp. 3-14). Routledge.

Gitlin, M. (1980). Developments in printing technology. *Journal of Historical Printing Techniques*, 5(2), 112-130.

Golan, G. (2006). Inter-Media Agenda Setting and Global News Coverage: Assessing the Influence of the New York Times on Three Network Television Evening News Programs. *Journalism Studies*, 7(2), 323-333.

Greenwood, D. (2020). *Media and the transformation of policy reform initiatives: An analysis of global narratives*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Gupta, A. (2021). The impact of global media on domestic policy-making in emerging economies. *Journal of Global Policy and Media Influence*, 7(1), 88-102.

Haddad, M. (2021). Navigating Public Relations and Image Building in Saudi Vision 2030. *Journal of International Business and Cultural Studies*, 15(1), 78-89.

Hallin, D. C., & Mancini, P. (2004). *Comparing media systems: Three models of media and politics*. Cambridge University Press

Herman, E. S., & Chomsky, N. (1988). *Manufacturing Consent: The Political Economy of the Mass Media*. Pantheon Books.

Hertog, S. (2019). The Political Economy of Reform in Saudi Arabia: Challenges and Priorities. *Middle Eastern Studies*, 55(3), 368-383.

Hiroshi, M. (2023). Media narratives and policy dynamics in East Asia: A comparative study. *Asia-Pacific Media Analyst*, 30(1), 100-115.

Huntington, S. P. (1968). *Political Order in Changing Societies*. Yale University Press.

Inglehart, R., & Welzel, C. (2005). *Modernization, Cultural Change, and Democracy: The Human Development Sequence*. Cambridge University Press.

Ivanov, D. (2021). Digital Transformation in the Printing Industry: European Perspectives. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 289(2), 501-516.

Ivanov, A. (2021). Media transformation in the digital age: A Russian perspective. *Journal of European Media Studies*, 16(3), 234-250.

Johnston, R. (2023). Environmental Sustainability in Middle Eastern Manufacturing: Trends and Innovations. *International Journal of Green Economics*, 17(4), 399-416.

Khalid, M. (2022). Technological Impact on Print Media in the Middle East. *Insights into Media Innovations*, 12(4), 201-217.

Kalogeropoulos, A., & Newman, N. (2017). *News Audiences and Consumer Behaviour*. Digital News Report.

Khan, S. (2019). Media representation of global events: Impact on public perception and policy-making. *World Journal of Social Science Research*, 6(3), 237-250.

Khan, A., & Omar, N. (2020). Evaluating Western Media Discourses on the Saudi Economic Diversification Plans. *Journal of Middle Eastern Sociopolitical Studies*, 17(1), 88-104.

Khatib, L. (2019). Media Representation and Reform: Perceptions of Saudi Vision 2030. *Journal of Middle Eastern Studies*, 35(3), 301-319.

Kinninmont, J. (2017). Vision 2030 and the Possibilities for Saudi Reform. *International Journal on Middle East Studies*, 49(1), 175-190. Kraidy, M. (2017). Media and Middle East Policy: An Analytical Overview. *Media, Culture & Society*, 39(1), 77-84.

Kirkpatrick, D. (2021). The role of digitalization in the transformation of traditional industries. *Journal of Digital Business Innovation*, 7(1), 54-68.

Kumar, A. (2020). Eco-friendly printing innovations: Case studies from around the globe. *Global Journal of Environmental Science and Management*, 6(4), 367-382.

Kumar, A. (2022). GCC Media Representation of Economic Initiatives: A Case Study Approach. *Journal of Gulf Studies*, 28(1), 49-65.

Kumar, V., & Singh, A. (2022). Media coverage of economic transitions in South Asia: Responses to policy changes. *South Asia Journal of Media Studies*, 5(1), 58-76.

Laurent, A. (2021). Cultural perceptions in international policy development. *Journal of Cultural Policy*, 17(3), 204-219.

Lerner, D. (1958). *The Passing of Traditional Society: Modernizing the Middle East*. Free Press.

Lee, J., & Song, B. (2020). Changing Narratives in East Asian Media: A Comparative Study of Regional Media Trends. *East Asia Media Studies*, 15(2), 203-219.

Lee, J., & Tan, D. (2020). The Global Media's Role in Shaping Perceptions of Saudi Vision 2030. *International Relations and Media Studies Journal*, 11(2), 199-215.

Lee, W. (2021). Emerging media trends and their influence on Asia-Pacific geopolitics. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 31(2), 142-159.

Lewis, J. P. (2023). Media representation and international perceptions: A new understanding of global dynamics. *Global Media Journal*, 31(1), 1-18.

Li, J. (2022). Media diplomacy and cultural exchange between China and the Middle East. *Journal of International Cultural Relations*, 4(2), 142-159.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Sage Publications.

Livingston, S. (2011). The CNN Effect Reconsidered: Media Effects and the Dynamics of Local Conflicts in the Post-Cold War Era. *Political Communication Review*, 28(4), 377-393.

Lopez, J. (2022). Media influence on public perception and policy across cultures. *Journal of Transnational Cultural Studies*, 11(3), 307-322.

Manheim, J. B., & Albritton, R. B. (1984). Changing paradigms in professional communication. *Communication Quarterly*, 32(3), 196-206.

McCombs, M., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The Agenda-Setting Function of Mass Media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176-187.

McQuail, D. (2010). *McQuail's Mass Communication Theory* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.

McCombs, M. (2005). A Look at Agenda-setting: Past, Present and Future. *Journalism Studies*, 6(4), 543-557.

McCombs, M. & Shaw, D.L. (1972). The agenda-Setting Function of Mass Media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176-187.

McCombs, M., & Reynolds, A. (2002). News Influence on Our Pictures of the World. In Bryant, J., & Zillmann, D. (Eds.), *Media Effects: Advances in Theory and Research* (2nd ed., pp. 1-18). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Mehrotra, V. (2022). Digital media and social change in the Middle East. *Journal of Digital Media & Policy*, 13(1), 112-130.

Miller, R. (2020). Evolution of print media in the digital era. *Global Media Insights*, 13(1), 202-217.

Mokhtar, H. (2022). Adjustment in media strategies across Middle Eastern policies. *Middle Eastern Media Research*, 29(4), 402-418.

Morozov, V. (2021). Media influence on policy adaptation in the digital era. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 21(3), 224-238.

Mubarak, H. (2021). Saudi Vision 2030: Between Aspiration and Achievement. *Middle East Policy Journal*, 28(1), 82-98.

Müller, H. (2021). Media influence in political decision-making: A global perspective. *International Journal of Communication Studies*, 37(2), 129-155.

Nassif, B. (2021). The Evolving Role of Media in Saudi Political Reform. *Saudi Journal of Political Studies*, 25(2), 300-320.

Nassif, B. (2021). The Role of Media in Shaping Economic Policy in the MENA Region. *Regional Studies of MENA*, 22(3), 234-249.

Nasser, G., & Abdullah, A. (2023). Media Coverage and Public Perception of Saudi Vision 2030. *Journal of Middle Eastern Politics*, 40(1), 112-129.

Neuendorf, K. A. (2002). *The Content Analysis Guidebook*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

O'Donnell, J. (2021). Impact of e-commerce on Saudi Arabia's print industry. *Saudi Economics & Trade Bulletin*, 12, 112-128

Park, J. (2023). Narratives and frameworks in global media coverage of economic reforms. *International Review of Media Studies*, 25(1), 45-62.

Patton, M. Q. (2002). *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.

Peterson, M. J. (2021). Digital Media and Reporting Trends: A Look at the Saudi Vision 2030. *Media Culture Review*, 15(1), 22-37.

Petrov, V. (2020). Media framing of political reforms: Eastern European perspectives. *European Journal of Media Studies*, 12(1), 78-92.

Oxford Analytica. (2020). The Impact of Vision 2030 Reforms on Saudi Arabia's Socioeconomic Structure. *Oxford Global Analysis*, 35(3), 294-310.

Palmer, G. (2018). Media Influence on Public Opinion Attitudes and Choices. *London Review of Media and Communication*, 10(1), 54-74.

Ramady, M. A. (2020). Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030: An Analysis of Economic Diversification Efforts. *International Journal of Middle East Finance and Management*, 13(2), 163-174.

Ramady, M. A. (2021). *The emerging economic landscape in Saudi Arabia*. Springer.

Riffe, D., Lacy, S., & Fico, F. (2005). *Analyzing Media Messages: Using Quantitative Content Analysis in Research* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Roberts, H. (2021). Technological trends in the Middle Eastern printing industry. *Innovations in Technology Review*, 17(7), 112-130.

Rostow, W. W. (1960). *The stages of economic growth: A non-communist manifesto*. Cambridge University Press.

Salem, R. (2020). Transformations in Saudi Socio-economic Policies: Media Reflections and Public Discourse. *Riyadh Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(2), 112-130.

Saudi Vision 2030. (2016). *Vision 2030*. Retrieved from [URL]

Scheufele, D. A. (1999). Framing as a Theory of Media Effects. *Journal of Communication*, 49(1), 103-122.

Schmidt, M. (2021). The interplay of economic initiatives and media outcomes: A theoretical analysis. *Global Policy and Media Journal*, 9(2), 158-172.

Scheufele, D. A., & Tewksbury, D. (2007). Framing, agenda setting, and priming: The evolution of three media effects models. *Journal of Communication*, 57(1), 9-20.

Semetko, H. A., & Valkenburg, P. M. (2000). Framing European Politics: A Content Analysis of Press and Television News. *Journal of Communication*, 50(2), 93-109.

Sharma, P. (2021). The evolving role of media in shaping public opinion on national security matters. *Journal of Security and Media Studies*, 22(4), 301-318.

Shoemaker, P. J., & Reese, S. D. (1996). *Mediating the Message: Theories of Influences on Mass Media Content*. Longman.

Smith, J. (2019). Media Dynamics in the Age of Globalization. *International Journal of Communication Studies*, 33(2), 142-155.

Smith, J. (2021). Global Perceptions and Strategic Communications in Policy Reform: The Case of Saudi Vision 2030. *Journal of International Affairs*, 47(2), 88-102.

Smith, A. (2022). Advanced digital printing technologies in the Middle East. *Tech Trends Journal*, 19(3), 165-177.

Smith, J. A., & Abdul, R. (2022). The interplay of media and political agendas in the Gulf: A critical analysis. *Middle Eastern Media and Political Studies*, 21(2), 190-210.

Soroka, W. (2002). *Fundamentals of packaging technology*. Institute of Packaging Professionals.

Tanaka, M. (2021). New media dynamics and international diplomacy in East Asia. *East Asian Journal of Media and Politics*, 24(2), 134-150.

The National. (2020). Saudi Vision 2030 Initiatives Gain Global Recognition. Retrieved from <https://www.thenationalnews.com>

Thomas, S. (2020). Media Influence on Public Opinion: An Analysis of International Coverage of Saudi Initiatives. *Global Media Journal*, 18(34), 58-74.

Thompson, H. (2022). Sociocultural impacts of economic reform programs in the Middle East. *Cultural Dynamics Journal*, 33(3), 280-295.

Thompson, C. (2022). Tracking change: How international media can influence political reforms. *Journal of Modern Media Studies*, 25(3), 138-157.

Turner, L. (2022). Perspectives on Global News Coverage: Implications for International Policies. *Global Media Journal*, 19(3), 129-145.

Vliegthart, R., & van Zoonen, L. (2011). Power to the Frame: Bringing Sociology Back to Frame Analysis. *European Journal of Communication*, 26(2), 101-115.

Vliegenthart, R., & van Zoonen, L. (2011). Power to the Frame: Bringing Sociology Back to Frame Analysis. *European Journal of Communication*, 26(2), 101-115.

Voltmer, K. (2013). *The Media in Transitional Democracies*. Polity Press.

Wanta, W., Golan, G., & Lee, C. (2004). Agenda Setting and International News: Media Influence on Public Perceptions of Foreign Nations. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 81(2), 364-377.

Williams, R. (2022). Evaluating the effectiveness of media strategies in foreign policy: The case of Saudi Vision 2030. *International Media Analysis Journal*, 11(1), 50-68.

Wilke, J. (1997). The Changing Nature of News Flow in the International System. *International Communication Gazette*, 59(3), 175-190.

Zheng, L. (2021). Impact of new media on public policy in China. *Chinese Journal of Media and Politics*, 18(1), 88-104.

Zheng, S. (2021). Social media engagement and the globalization of local issues. *TechSociety Journal*, 18(2), 77-89.

Zahrani, T. (2021). The Role of New Media in the Promotion of Saudi Vision 2030: An Analytical Study. *Journal of Media Studies*, 26(3), 134-150.